

Copyright 2006 SHEPARD'S(R) - 128 Citing references

**Myers v. Anderson, 238 U.S. 368, 35 S. Ct. 932, 59 L. Ed. 1349, 1915 U.S. LEXIS 1573 (1915)**

**SHEPARD'S Signal: Caution: Possible negative treatment**

Restrictions: *Unrestricted*

FOCUS(TM) Terms: *No FOCUS terms*

Print Format: *FULL*

Citing Ref. Signal: *Hidden*

## **SHEPARD'S SUMMARY**

### **Unrestricted Shepard's Summary**

No subsequent appellate history. Prior history available.

#### **Citing References:**

Cautionary Analyses: **Distinguished (3)**

Positive Analyses: Parallel (1)

Neutral Analyses: Dissenting Op. (7)

Other Sources: Law Reviews (63), Statutes (3), Treatises (1), American Law Rpts/Lawyers' Edition Annos (5)

**LexisNexis Headnotes:** HN1 (14)

#### **PRIOR HISTORY ( 1 citing reference )**

1. *Anderson v. Myers*, 182 F. 223, 1910 U.S. App. LEXIS 5641 (C.C.D. Md. 1910)

**Affirmed by (CITATION YOU ENTERED):**

*Myers v. Anderson*, 238 U.S. 368, 35 S. Ct. 932, 59 L. Ed. 1349, 1915 U.S. LEXIS 1573 (1915)

#### **CITING DECISIONS ( 55 citing decisions )**

#### **U.S. SUPREME COURT**

##### 2. **Cited by:**

*Rice v. Cayetano*, 528 U.S. 495, 120 S. Ct. 1044, 145 L. Ed. 2d 1007, 2000 U.S. LEXIS 1538, 68 U.S.L.W. 4138, 13 Fla. L. Weekly Fed. S 105, 2000 Cal. Daily Op. Service 1341, 2000 Colo. J. C.A.R. 898, 2000 D.A.R. 1881 (2000)

528 U.S. 495 *p.513*

120 S. Ct. 1044 *p.1054*

145 L. Ed. 2d 1007 *p.1023*

##### 3. **Cited by:**

*Carey v. Phipus*, 435 U.S. 247, 98 S. Ct. 1042, 55 L. Ed. 2d 252, 1978 U.S. LEXIS 69 (1978)

435 U.S. 247 *p.265*

98 S. Ct. 1042 *p.1053*

55 L. Ed. 2d 252 *p.266*

4. **Cited by:**  
*Scheuer v. Rhodes*, 416 U.S. 232, 94 S. Ct. 1683, 40 L. Ed. 2d 90, 1974 U.S. LEXIS 126, 71 Ohio Op. 2d 474 (1974)  
  
416 U.S. 232 p.238  
94 S. Ct. 1683 p.1687  
40 L. Ed. 2d 90 p.97
5. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**  
*Adickes v. S. H. Kress & Co.*, 398 U.S. 144, 90 S. Ct. 1598, 26 L. Ed. 2d 142, 1970 U.S. LEXIS 31 (1970) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**  
  
398 U.S. 144 p.211  
90 S. Ct. 1598 p.1631  
26 L. Ed. 2d 142 p.184
6. **Cited by:**  
*South Carolina v. Katzenbach*, 383 U.S. 301, 86 S. Ct. 803, 15 L. Ed. 2d 769, 1966 U.S. LEXIS 2112 (1966) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**  
  
383 U.S. 301 p.311  
86 S. Ct. 803 p.810  
15 L. Ed. 2d 769 p.777
7. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**  
*Baker v. Carr*, 369 U.S. 186, 82 S. Ct. 691, 7 L. Ed. 2d 663, 1962 U.S. LEXIS 1567 (1962)  
  
369 U.S. 186 p.286  
82 S. Ct. 691 p.748  
7 L. Ed. 2d 663 p.726
8. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**  
*Monroe v. Pape*, 365 U.S. 167, 81 S. Ct. 473, 5 L. Ed. 2d 492, 1961 U.S. LEXIS 1687 (1961) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**  
  
365 U.S. 167 p.213  
81 S. Ct. 473 p.498  
5 L. Ed. 2d 492 p.519
9. **Cited by:**  
*Morey v. Doud*, 354 U.S. 457, 77 S. Ct. 1344, 1 L. Ed. 2d 1485, 1957 U.S. LEXIS 1731 (1957)  
  
354 U.S. 457 p.470  
77 S. Ct. 1344 p.1352  
1 L. Ed. 2d 1485 p.1494
10. **Cited by:**  
*Terry v. Adams*, 345 U.S. 461, 73 S. Ct. 809, 97 L. Ed. 1152, 1953 U.S. LEXIS 2603 (1953)  
  
345 U.S. 461 p.481  
97 L. Ed. 1152 p.1166  
73 S. Ct. 809 p.819

11. **Cited by:**  
*Smith v. Allwright*, 321 U.S. 649, 64 S. Ct. 757, 88 L. Ed. 987, 1944 U.S. LEXIS 875, 151 A.L.R. 1110 (1944)  
  
321 U.S. 649 p.661  
64 S. Ct. 757 p.764  
88 L. Ed. 987 p.996
12. **Cited by:**  
*Lane v. Wilson*, 307 U.S. 268, 59 S. Ct. 872, 83 L. Ed. 1281, 1939 U.S. LEXIS 544 (1939) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**  
  
307 U.S. 268 p.275  
83 L. Ed. 1281 p.1287  
59 S. Ct. 872 p.876
13. **Cited by:**  
*Dorchy v. Kansas*, 264 U.S. 286, 44 S. Ct. 323, 68 L. Ed. 686, 1924 U.S. LEXIS 2505 (1924)  
  
264 U.S. 286 p.291  
68 L. Ed. 686 p.690  
44 S. Ct. 323 p.325
14. **Cited by:**  
*Leser v. Garnett*, 258 U.S. 130, 42 S. Ct. 217, 66 L. Ed. 505, 1922 U.S. LEXIS 2250 (1922)  
  
258 U.S. 130 p.136  
66 L. Ed. 505 p.511  
42 S. Ct. 217 p.217
15. **Cited by:**  
*Schneider Granite Co. v. Gast Realty & Inv. Co.*, 245 U.S. 288, 38 S. Ct. 125, 62 L. Ed. 292, 1917 U.S. LEXIS 1736 (1917)  
  
245 U.S. 288 p.290  
38 S. Ct. 125 p.126  
62 L. Ed. 292 p.295
16. **Parallel at:**  
*Guinn v. United States*, 238 U.S. 347, 35 S. Ct. 926, 59 L. Ed. 1340, 1915 U.S. LEXIS 1572 (1915)  
  
238 U.S. 347 p.347

#### **1ST CIRCUIT - COURT OF APPEALS**

17. **Cited by:**  
*Francis v. Lyman*, 216 F.2d 583, 1954 U.S. App. LEXIS 3002 (1st Cir. Mass. 1954)  
  
216 F.2d 583 p.588

#### **1ST CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURTS**

18. **Cited by:**  
*Gonzalez v. Gonzalez*, 385 F. Supp. 1226, 1973 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11073 (D.P.R. 1973)  
385 F. Supp. 1226 p.1243

## 2ND CIRCUIT - COURT OF APPEALS

19. **Cited by:**  
*Farid v. Smith*, 850 F.2d 917, 1988 U.S. App. LEXIS 8743 (2d Cir. N.Y. 1988)  
850 F.2d 917 p.921
20. **Cited by:**  
*Dwyer v. Regan*, 777 F.2d 825, 1985 U.S. App. LEXIS 25150 (2d Cir. N.Y. 1985)  
777 F.2d 825 p.836

## 2ND CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

21. **Cited by:**  
*King v. Carey*, 405 F. Supp. 41, 1975 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14872, 78 Lab. Cas. (CCH) P33354, 76 Labor Relations N.L.R.B. (P-H) P14196, 22 Wage & Hour Cas. (BNA) 841 (W.D.N.Y. 1975)  
405 F. Supp. 41 p.43

## 3RD CIRCUIT - COURT OF APPEALS

22. **Cited by:**  
*Spicer v. Hilton*, 618 F.2d 232, 1980 U.S. App. LEXIS 20071 (3d Cir. N.J. 1980)  
618 F.2d 232 p.236

## 3RD CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

23. **Cited by:**  
*Martin v. Delaware Law School of Widener University*, 625 F. Supp. 1288, 1985 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12382 (D. Del. 1985) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**  
625 F. Supp. 1288 p.1300

## 4TH CIRCUIT - COURT OF APPEALS

24. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**  
*National Socialist White People's Party v. Ringers*, 473 F.2d 1010, 1973 U.S. App. LEXIS 11833 (4th Cir. Va. 1973)  
473 F.2d 1010 p.1021
25. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**  
*Holt v. Richmond*, 459 F.2d 1093, 1972 U.S. App. LEXIS 9768 (4th Cir. Va. 1972)  
459 F.2d 1093 p.1101

**4TH CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURTS**

26. **Cited by:**  
*Lassiter v. Taylor*, 152 F. Supp. 295, 1957 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3387 (D.N.C. 1957)  
152 F. Supp. 295 p.297

**5TH CIRCUIT - COURT OF APPEALS**

27. **Cited by:**  
*Nevelt v. Sides*, 571 F.2d 209, 1978 U.S. App. LEXIS 11966 (5th Cir. Ala. 1978)  
571 F.2d 209 p.220
28. **Distinguished by:**  
*Trudeau v. Barnes*, 65 F.2d 563, 1933 U.S. App. LEXIS 3073 (5th Cir. La. 1933)  
65 F.2d 563 p.564

**5TH CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURTS**

29. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**  
*United States v. Mississippi*, 229 F. Supp. 925, 1964 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9703 (S.D. Miss. 1964) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**  
229 F. Supp. 925 p.980
30. **Distinguished by:**  
*Trudeau v. Barnes*, 1 F. Supp. 453, 1932 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1759 (D. La. 1932) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**  
1 F. Supp. 453 p.455
31. **Cited by:**  
*Nixon v. Condon*, 34 F.2d 464, 1929 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1457 (D. Tex. 1929)  
34 F.2d 464 p.467

**6TH CIRCUIT - COURT OF APPEALS**

32. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**  
*Mahaley v. Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority*, 500 F.2d 1087, 1974 U.S. App. LEXIS 7733 (6th Cir. Ohio 1974)  
500 F.2d 1087 p.1097
33. **Cited by:**  
*Akron Bd. of Education v. State Bd. of Education*, 490 F.2d 1285, 1974 U.S. App. LEXIS 10562 (6th Cir. Ohio 1974)  
490 F.2d 1285 p.1291

**7TH CIRCUIT - COURT OF APPEALS**

34. **Cited by:**  
*Lucas v. Wisconsin Electric Power Co.*, 466 F.2d 638, 1972 U.S. App. LEXIS 8100(7th Cir. Wis. 1972) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**  
466 F.2d 638 p.655

#### 7TH CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

35. **Cited by:**  
*Mother Goose Nursery Schools, Inc. v. Sendak*, 591 F. Supp. 897, 1984 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 15373 (N.D. Ind. 1984)  
591 F. Supp. 897 p.910

36. **Cited by:**  
*Mother Goose Nursery Schools, Inc. v. Sendak*, 502 F. Supp. 1319, 1980 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16373 (N.D. Ind. 1980)  
502 F. Supp. 1319 p.1323

#### 8TH CIRCUIT - COURT OF APPEALS

37. **Cited by:**  
*Byrd v. Sexton*, 277 F.2d 418, 1960 U.S. App. LEXIS 5034 (8th Cir. Mo. 1960)  
277 F.2d 418 p.428

#### 9TH CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

38. **Cited by:**  
*Rury v. Gandy*, 12 F.2d 620, 1926 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1124 (D. Wash. 1926)  
12 F.2d 620 p.621
39. **Distinguished by:**  
*Terrace v. Thompson*, 274 F. 841, 1921 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1216 (D. Wash. 1921) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**  
274 F. 841 p.849

#### 10TH CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

40. **Cited by:**  
*Steele v. Stephan*, 633 F. Supp. 950, 1986 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 27571 (D. Kan. 1986)  
633 F. Supp. 950 p.954
41. **Cited by:**  
*Beck v. Kansas University Psychiatry Foundation*, 580 F. Supp. 527, 1984 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19577 (D. Kan. 1984)  
580 F. Supp. 527 p.538

42. **Cited by:**  
*Baxter v. Birkins*, 311 F. Supp. 222, 1970 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12442 (D. Colo. 1970) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**

311 F. Supp. 222 p.224

#### 11TH CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

43. **Cited by:**  
*Clark v. Marengo County*, 469 F. Supp. 1150, 1979 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12860 (S.D. Ala. 1979)

469 F. Supp. 1150 p.1177

44. **Cited by:**  
*James v. Wallace*, 386 F. Supp. 815, 1974 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11614 (M.D. Ala. 1974)

386 F. Supp. 815 p.818

45. **Cited by:**  
*Alabama Optometric Asso. v. Alabama State Bd. of Health*, 379 F. Supp. 1332, 1974 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7419, 1974-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) P75226 (M.D. Ala. 1974) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**

379 F. Supp. 1332 p.1338

46. **Cited by:**  
*McGill v. Ryals*, 253 F. Supp. 374, 1966 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9692 (M.D. Ala. 1966)

253 F. Supp. 374 p.375

#### D.C. CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURT

47. **Cited by:**  
*Bear v. United States*, 374 F. Supp. 363, 1974 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9494 (D.D.C. 1974)

374 F. Supp. 363 p.377

#### KANSAS SUPREME COURT

48. **Cited by:**  
*Beck v. Kansas Adult Authority*, 241 Kan. 13, 735 P.2d 222, 1987 Kan. LEXIS 324(1987)

241 Kan. 13 p.17  
735 P.2d 222 p.226

49. **Cited by:**  
*Harris v. Anderson*, 194 Kan. 302, 400 P.2d 25, 1965 Kan. LEXIS 268 (1965)

194 Kan. 302 p.347  
400 P.2d 25 p.57

#### MARYLAND COURT OF APPEALS

50. **Cited by:**  
*LESER v. BOARD OF REGISTRY*, 139 Md. 46, 114 A. 840, 1921 Md. LEXIS 137 (1921) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**

139 Md. 46 p.65  
114 A. 840 p.845

#### MASSACHUSETTS SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT

51. **Cited by:**  
*Ashley v. Wait*, 228 Mass. 63, 116 N.E. 961, 1917 Mass. LEXIS 1173, 8 A.L.R. 1463 (1917)

228 Mass. 63 p.81  
116 N.E. 961 p.968

#### MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT

52. **Cited by:**  
*Hirych v. State*, 376 Mich. 384, 136 N.W.2d 910, 1965 Mich. LEXIS 230 (1965) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**

376 Mich. 384 p.395  
136 N.W.2d 910 p.915

#### MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

53. **Cited by:**  
*Central Advertising Co. v. Novi*, 91 Mich. App. 303, 283 N.W.2d 730, 1979 Mich. App. LEXIS 2253 (1979)

91 Mich. App. 303 p.308  
283 N.W.2d 730 p.732

#### NEBRASKA SUPREME COURT

54. **Cited by:**  
*State ex rel. Polk County v. Marsh*, 106 Neb. 760, 184 N.W. 901, 1921 Neb. LEXIS 271 (1921) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**

106 Neb. 760 p.762  
184 N.W. 901 p.902

#### NORTH CAROLINA SUPREME COURT

55. **Cited by:**  
*State v. Helms*, 181 N.C. 566, 107 S.E. 228, 1921 N.C. LEXIS 150 (1921)

181 N.C. 566 p.574  
107 S.E. 228 p.232

#### TEXAS COMMISSION OF APPEALS

56. **Cited by:**  
*Smith v. Paschal*, 1 S.W.2d 1086, 1928 Tex. App. LEXIS 1344 (Tex. Comm'n App. 1928)

1 S.W.2d 1086 p.1087



**ANNOTATED STATUTES ( 3 Citing Statutes )**

- 57. *USCS Const. Amend. 15, @ 2*
- 58. *28 USCS @ 1343*
- 59. *42 USCS @ 1983*

**LAW REVIEWS AND PERIODICALS ( 63 Citing References )**

- 60. *ARTICLE: BLACK AND WHITE DISENFRANCHISEMENT: POPULISM, RACE, AND CLASS*, 52 Am. U.L. Rev. 23 (2002)  
52 Am. U.L. Rev. 23 p.23
- 61. *NOTE: State of Texas v. United States: Voting Rights and Texas's Educational Standards*, 51 Baylor L. Rev. 191 (1999)
- 62. *SYMPOSIUM ON LAW IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY: Equality Trouble: Sameness and Difference in Twentieth-Century Race Law*, 88 Cal. L. Rev. 1923 (2000)
- 63. *ARTICLE: Patterns of Official Immunity and Accountability*, 37 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 396 (1987)
- 64. *ARTICLE: RACE RELATIONS LAW AND THE TRADITION OF CELEBRATION: THE CASE OF PROFESSOR SCHMIDT.*, 86 Colum. L. Rev. 1622 (1986)  
86 Colum. L. Rev. 1622 p.1640
- 65. *82 Colum. L. Rev. 835*, 82 Colum. L. Rev. 835  
82 Colum. L. Rev. 835 p.858
- 66. *82 Colum. L. Rev. 646*, 82 Colum. L. Rev. 646  
82 Colum. L. Rev. 646 p.714
- 67. *82 Colum. L. Rev. 444*, 82 Colum. L. Rev. 444  
82 Colum. L. Rev. 444 p.444
- 68. *ARTICLE: The Protection of Intellectual-Property Rights in a Federalist Era*, 6 Comp. L. Rev. & Tech. J. 137 (2002)
- 69. *62 Cornell L. Rev. 258*

62 Cornell L. Rev. 258 p.278

70. *ARTICLE: Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act: A Once and Future Remedy?*, 81 Denv. U.L. Rev. 225 (2003)  
81 Denv. U.L. Rev. 225 p.225
71. *RECENT DECISION: The Fifteenth Amendment's Prohibition Against State Suffrage Restrictions Based upon Race Encompasses Ancestral Restrictions That Are Used as Substitutes for Race: Rice v. Cayetano*, 39 Duq. L. Rev. 217 (2000)  
39 Duq. L. Rev. 217 p.217
72. *SYMPOSIUM: "Economic Rights," Implied Constitutional Actions, and the Scope of Section 1983.*, 77 Geo. L.J. 1493 (1989)  
77 Geo. L.J. 1493 p.1502
73. *SYMPOSIUM: Section 1983 and Constitutional Torts.*, 77 Geo. L.J. 1441 (1989)  
77 Geo. L.J. 1441 p.1446
74. *NOTE: Section 1983 and the Independent Contractor.*, 74 Geo. L.J. 457 (1985)
75. *A TRIBUTE TO CHARLES HAMILTON HOUSTON: WIELDING THE DOUBLE-EDGED SWORD: CHARLES HAMILTON HOUSTON AND JUDICIAL ACTIVISM IN THE AGE OF LEGAL REALISM*, 14 Harv. BlackLetter J. 17 (1998)
76. *ARTICLE: THE SYSTEMATIC DENIAL OF THE RIGHT TO VOTE TO AMERICA'S MINORITIES*, 11 Harv. BlackLetter J. 61 (1994)
77. *ARTICLE: SHE THE PEOPLE: THE NINETEENTH AMENDMENT, SEX EQUALITY, FEDERALISM, AND THE FAMILY*, 115 Harv. L. Rev. 947 (2002)  
115 Harv. L. Rev. 947 p.947
78. *96 Harv. L. Rev. 828*, 96 Harv. L. Rev. 828  
96 Harv. L. Rev. 828 p.840
79. *ARTICLE: PERPETUATION OF PAST DISCRIMINATION (Part One of Two.)*, 96 Harv. L. Rev. 828 (1983)
80. *SYMPOSIUM: Annexation and The Voting Rights Act.*, 28 How. L.J. 565 (1985)  
28 How. L.J. 565 p.565
81. *SYMPOSIUM: The Future of the Fifteenth Amendment.*, 28 How. L.J. 541 (1985)

28 How. L.J. 541 p.541

82. *COMMENT: Federal Court Remedies: The Creative Use Of Potential Remedies Can Produce Institutional Change.*, 27 How. L.J. 879 (1984)
- 27 How. L.J. 879 p.879
83. *ARTICLE: When Words Mean What We Believe They Say: The Case of Article V*, 76 Iowa L. Rev. 1 (1990)
84. *ARTICLE: Resolving the Problem of Qualified Immunity for Private Defendants in Section 1983 and Bivens Damage Suits.* \*, 53 La. L. Rev. 449 (1992)
85. *SYMPOSIUM: LITIGATING THE PRESIDENCY: THE ELECTION 2000 DECISION AND ITS RAMIFICATIONS FOR THE SUPREME COURT: EQUAL PROTECTION, THE CONSCIENTIOUS JUDGE, AND THE 2000 PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION*, 61 Md. L. Rev. 576 (2002)
- 61 Md. L. Rev. 576 p.576
86. *ARTICLE: "Under Color of" - What Does It Mean?*, 56 Mercer L. Rev. 565 (2005)
- 56 Mercer L. Rev. 565 p.565
87. *CONSTITUTIONAL LAW AND CIVIL RIGHTS SYMPOSIUM, PART I: CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDIES, SECTION 1983 AND THE COMMON LAW*, 68 Miss. L.J. 157 (1998)
88. *NOTE: The "Safe" Danger: Remedies Under the Amended Voting Rights Act of 1965* , 12 N.Y.L. Sch. J. Hum. Rts. 163 (1994)
89. *ARTICLE: SECTION 1983 AND FEDERAL PROTECTION OF INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS — WILL THE STATUTE REMAIN ALIVE OF FADE AWAY?* , 60 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1 (1985)
- 60 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1 p.12
90. *LEGAL THEORY: IMMUNITY UNDER 42 U.S.C. 1983: INTERPRETIVE APPROACH AND THE SEARCH FOR THE LEGISLATIVE WILL.*, 86 Nw. U.L. Rev. 497 (1992)
- 86 Nw. U.L. Rev. 497 p.497
91. *ARTICLE: Georgia v. Ashcroft: It's the End of Section 5 As We Know It (And I Feel Fine)*, 32 Pepp. L. Rev. 265 (2005)
- 32 Pepp. L. Rev. 265 p.265
92. *NOTE: The Death of the Voting Rights Act or an Exercise in Geometry?—Shaw v. Reno Provides More Questions Than Answers*, 22 Pepp. L. Rev. 727 (1995)

93. *COMMENTS: BLIND SALAMANDERS, MINORITY REPRESENTATION, AND THE EDWARDS AQUIFER: RECONCILING USE-BASED MANAGEMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES WITH THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT OF 1965*, 25 St. Mary's L. J. 1450 (1994)
94. *ARTICLE: Demodeling Habeas.*, 45 Stan. L. Rev. 575 (1993)  
45 Stan. L. Rev. 575 p.613
95. *SPECIAL 50TH ANNIVERSARY SYMPOSIUM: BROWN V. BOARD OF EDUCATION AND THE PRINCIPAL OF EQUALITY IN HIGHER EDUCATION: ARTICLE: Doctrinal Myths and the Management of Cognitive Dissonance: Race, Law, and the Supreme Court's Doctrinal Support of Jim Crow*, 34 Stetson L. Rev. 293 (2005)  
34 Stetson L. Rev. 293 p.293
96. *ARTICLE: GENERALLY APPLICABLE LAWS AND THE FIRST AMENDMENT*, 26 Sw. U. L. Rev. 201 (1997)
97. *ARTICLE: Innovations in the Defense of Official Immunity Under Section 1983*, 47 Tenn. L. Rev. 47 (1979)
98. *NOTE: Qualifying Immunity in Section 1983 & Bivens Actions.* \*, 71 Tex. L. Rev. 123 (1992)  
71 Tex. L. Rev. 123 p.135
99. 61 Tex. L. Rev. 1401  
61 Tex. L. Rev. 1401 p.1403
100. *ARTICLE: THE GERRYMANDERING OF THE RECONSTRUCTION AMENDMENTS AND STRICT SCRUTINY: THE SUPREME COURT'S UNWARRANTED INTRUSION INTO THE POLITICAL THICKET*, 23 T. Marshall L. Rev. 71 (1997)
101. *ARTICLE: CHARLES HAMILTON HOUSTON, THE TEXAS WHITE PRIMARY, AND CENTRALIZATION OF THE NAACP'S LITIGATION STRATEGY*, 21 T. Marshall L. Rev. 95 (1995)
102. *ESSAY: The Relevance of Constitutional Amendments: A Response to David Strauss*, 77 Tul. L. Rev. 247 (2002)  
77 Tul. L. Rev. 247 p.247
103. *COMMENT: The Evolution of the State of Mind Requirement of Section 1983*, 47 Tul. L. Rev. 870 (1973)  
47 Tul. L. Rev. 870 p.870

104. *Article: Supreme Court Destabilization of Single-Member Districts*, 1995 U Chi Legal F 205 (1995)
105. *RECENT CASE: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW — CIVIL RIGHTS — NEGLIGENCE — LIABILITY— PUBLIC OFFICIALS — MUNICIPALITIES — SIMPLE NEGLIGENCE IS INSUFFICIENT TO SUPPORT LIABILITY OF HIGH POLICE OFFICIALS AND MUNICIPALITIES FOR INADEQUATE TRAINING, SUPERVISION AND CONTROL OF INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS UNDER 42 U.S.C. 1983 OR DIRECTLY UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.*  
— *Hays v. Je*, 51 U. Cin. L. Rev. 484 (1982)
106. *COMMENT: The California Civil Rights Initiative: Why It's Here, Its Far Reaching Effects, and the Unique Situation in Hawai'i* , 22 U. Haw. L. Rev. 279 (2000)  
22 U. Haw. L. Rev. 279 p.279
107. 1977 U. Ill. L. Forum 961  
1977 U. Ill. L. Forum 961 p.974
108. *ESSAY ON THE BILL OF RIGHTS: THE "SIXTY SOMETHING" ANNIVERSARY OF THE BILL OF RIGHTS*, 1992 U. Ill. L. Rev. 445 (1992)  
1992 U. Ill. L. Rev. 445 p.453
109. *ESSAY: THE SOURCE OF LAW IN CIVIL RIGHTS ACTIONS: SOME OLD LIGHT ON SECTION 1988.* , 133 U. Pa. L. Rev. 601 (1985)
110. *ARTICLE: CONSENT, LEGITIMACY AND ELECTIONS: IMPLEMENTING POPULAR SOVEREIGNTY UNDER THE LOCKEAN CONSTITUTION +* , 52 U. Pitt. L. Rev. 189 (1990)
111. *ARTICLE: Two Steps Forward, One Step Back, and a Side Step: Asian Americans and the Federal Help America Vote Act*, 10 UCLA Asian Pac. Am. L.J. 31 (2004)
112. *ARTICLE: EVOLVING CONCEPTIONS OF EQUALITY UNDER TITLE VII: DISPARATE IMPACT THEORY AND THE DEMISE OF THE BOTTOM LINE PRINCIPLE.*, 31 UCLA L. Rev. 305 (1983)  
31 UCLA L. Rev. 305 p.340
113. 60 Va. L. Rev. 1  
60 Va. L. Rev. 1 p.17
114. *NOTE: SUBSTANTIVE CONTENT OF CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS: POLITICAL QUESTION OR JUSTICIABLE CONCERN?* , 42 Wayne L. Rev. 1685 (1996)  
42 Wayne L. Rev. 1685 p.1685

115. *NOTE: NAVIGATING THE POLITICAL THICKET: THE SUPREME COURT, THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, AND THE "PREDOMINANT MOTIVE" IN DISTRICT APPORTIONMENT CASES AFTER MILLER v. JOHNSON*, 5 Widener J. Pub. L. 503 (1996)
116. *BOOK REVIEW: THE JUDICIARY AND RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT, 1910-1921*. By Alexander M. Bickel and Benno C. Schmidt, Jr., 1984 Wis. L. Rev. 1671 (1984)  
1984 Wis. L. Rev. 1671 p.1671
117. *TYRANNY OF THE JUDICIARY: JUDICIAL DILUTION OF CONSENT UNDER SECTION 2 OF THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT*, 7 Wm. & Mary Bill of Rts. J. 443 (1999)
118. *SYMPOSIUM: BROWN v. BOARD OF EDUCATION AFTER FORTY YEARS: CONFRONTING THE PROMISE: THE RISE AND FALL OF SUPREME COURT CONCERN FOR RACIAL MINORITIES*, 36 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 345 (1995)
119. *NOTE: Challenging Criminal Disenfranchisement Under the Voting Rights Act: A New Strategy.*, 103 Yale L.J. 537 (1993)
120. *ARTICLE: Who Shall Rule and Govern? Local Legislative Delegations, Racial Politics, and the Voting Rights Act.* \*, 102 Yale L.J. 105 (1992)  
102 Yale L.J. 105 p.139
121. *ESSAY: A Postscript for Charles Black: The Supreme Court and Race in the Progressive Era.*, 95 Yale L.J. 1681 (1986)
122. 91 Yale L.J. 1287  
91 Yale L.J. 1287 p.1306

**ALR ANNOTATIONS ( 5 Citing Annotations )**

123. *Supreme Court's views as to application or applicability of doctrine of qualified immunity in action under 42 USCS sec. 1983, or in Bivens action, seeking damages for alleged civil rights violations*, 116 L. Ed. 2d 965, secs. 4, 5
124. *Racial discrimination in voting, and validity and construction of remedial legislation—Supreme Court cases*, 92 L. Ed. 2d 809, sec. 7
125. *Supreme Court's construction of Civil Rights Act of 1871 (42 USCS sec. 1983) providing private right of action for violation of federal rights*, 43 L. Ed. 2d 833, secs. 6, 12

126. *Racial discrimination in voting, and validity and construction of remedial legislation—Supreme Court cases*, 27 L. Ed. 2d 885, secs. 3, 4
127. *Actions Brought Under 42 U.S.C.A. sec. 1981-1983 for Racial Discrimination—Supreme Court cases*, 164 A.L.R. Fed. 483, sec. 22

**TREATISE CITATIONS ( 1 Citing Source )**

128. *1-11 Constitutional Rights of Prisoners APPENDIX 1*