At which day to wit the said second Tuesday of November being the tenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety five comes into the High Court of Appeals as well the said Appellant by William Kilty and John Mackal Gantt his Attornies as the said Appellees by William Cooke their Attorney. And thereupon on Motion of the said Appellees by their Attorney aforesaid It is ordered by the Court here that the said Appellant assign the Errors in the Record of proceedings between the said Appellant and the said Appellees before the Court here brought for correcting those Errors in the Record and proceedings aforesaid supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court here will be entered against him in default thereof. Whereupon the said Appellant by his Attornies aforesaid comes and prays a day of Imparlance to the Errors aforesaid for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid by him the aforesaid Appellant to be assigned until the second Tuesday in June next and then to assign those Errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the Record and proceedings aforesaid rendered and to him it is granted the same day is given to the said Appellees also — At which said second Tuesday of June being the fourteenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety six to which said day the said Appellant had leave to Imparle and then to assign those Errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the Record and proceedings aforesaid rendered supposed to be therein, come again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the said Appellant by his Attornies aforesaid as the aforesaid Appellees by their Attorney aforesaid comes and says that in the Record and process aforesaid and also in the rendering of Judgment aforesaid there is Manifest error in this to wit, that whereby the Record aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said Appellees against the said Appellant, Therefor in that there is Manifest error and he prays that the Judgment aforesaid for that Error and others being in the Record and process aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that the said Appellant may be restored to all that he hath lost by Occasion of the said Judgment and that the said Appellees may rejoin to the Errors aforesaid &c —

And the said Appellees by their Attorney aforesaid say there is no Error in the Record and proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the Record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Appellant above for Errors assigned, and that the said Judgment may be in all

things affirmed and soforth. But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day thereof is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of November next to hear their Judgment of and upon those premises, because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised &c.

And now at this day to wit, the said second Tuesday of November being the eighth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety six comes again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid Appellant by his Attornies aforesaid as the said Appellees by their Attorney aforesaid, whereupon as well the Record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment rendered inform aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Appellant above for Errors assigned being seen heard and fully understood by the Court and mature deliberation thereon had, Therefor for that it appears to the Court here that there is no Error either in the Record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid — Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid rendered inform aforesaid be in all things affirmed. And it is further considered that the said Appellees recover against the said Appellant their damages Costs and charges aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered in the aforesaid General Court as also the quantity of eleven hundred and sixty eight pounds of Tobacco adjudged to them on their absent according to the form of the Statute in such cases made and provided for their Costs and charges which they have sustained by Occasion of the delay of the Execution of the Judgment aforesaid by means of the prosecuting the said writ of Error by the said Appellant and that they the said Appellees have thereof their Execution &c —

Test. Burton Whetcroft Clerk Court of Appeals

WH, IMG. } Henry Hardy C WC — John Laird and Thomas Dick Exors of Robt. Dick.	Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the twenty seventh day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety five the aforesaid Henry Hardy by

William Kilty and John Mackal Gant his Attornies sued out of the State of Maryland's High Court of Chancery the writ of the Said State of Error, directed to the Honorable the Judges of the General Court in the words following to wit — "

Maryland Sc. The State of Maryland to the Honorable the Judges of the General Court Greeting because in the Record and Process and also in the rendering of Judgment on a certain