December Term 1808.

A.S.	Martin Whitmore	Appeal from Frederick County Court to December 1807. Record. general errors, joinder and rule argument
	vs	Agreed say attornies.
J.D.	William Shields	
A.S.	Henry Whitmore	Same.
		Agreed say attornies.
J.D.	Same	
A.S.	Henry Whitmore Jun.	Same.
		Agreed say attornies.
J.D.	Same	
Taney	Richard Colegate	Same.
		Judgment affirmed nisi. Costs $11.20.
Ret. A.R.W.	Elizabeth Colegate and Henry Brish.	Satisfied appellees as per Receipt filed 27 Decr. 1808.
Taney	Same	Same.
		Judgment affirmed nisi. Costs $11.20.
Ret. A.R.W.	Same	Same satisfaction as above.
Taney	Joseph M. Cromwell	Same
		Judgment affirmed nisi. Costs $11.20.
R.B. Ret. A.R.W.	Same	Satisfied appellees. order filed 27 Decr. 1808.
W.D.	Thomas Rutter	Appeal from Baltimore County Court to May 1808. Record. general errors, joinder and rule argument.
		ver. rel
		Judgment affirmed by consent with costs.
J.C. J.P.	Edward Blake Junr.	Agreed & settled say attornies. Costs $31.40.
J.J.	William Cheney	Error to Anne Arundel County Court. Record to Decr. 1809. General errors, joinder and rule argument
		Judgment affirmed nisi 20 Decr. 1808. Costs $11.05
A.S.	Mary Stockett admrx. of Thomas Noble Stockett use of Ridgely & Evans	Superseded filed 18 March 1809 Date to June 1809 No. 13. Satisfied Ridgely & Evans Order filed 16 Nov. 1810
J.C. W.W.	Dr. Henry Stevenson	Same to Baltimore County Court. Same
		Judgment affirmed nisi 20 Decr. 1808. Costs $11.53
		Debt, Interest & Costs in the County Court satisfied
S.U.	Hugh Deane	James Clarke attorney in fact for the Defendt. in error filed.

December Term 1808.

John and Margaret Hay
vs
Walter Conner

Be it remembered that heretofore Court on the second Tuesday of May being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and two the chief Justice and associate Justices of the third Judicial District in pursuance of an act of Assembly in such Case made and provided transmitted to the late General Court the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the County Court held in and for Baltimore County between John and Margaret Hay Plaintiffs and Walter Conner Defendant and wherein the said County Court gave Judgment for the said Walter Conner against the said John and Margaret Hay and from which Judgment the said John and Margaret Hay prayed an appeal unto the said late General Court—the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following towit.

Baltimore County sc. At a County Court of the third Judicial district of the State of Maryland held at the Court house in the City of Baltimore in and for the County aforesaid on the first monday in February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and two.

Present

Henry Ridgely Esquire chief Justice
William Winchester } Esquires associates
Edward Johnson }

James Wilson Esquire Sheriff. William Gibson Clk

Among other were the following proceedings towit.

John Hay and Margaret Hay against Walter Conner

Be it remembered that heretofore towit on the fourth day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred; John Hay and Margaret Hay by Nicholas Brice their attorney sued out of the County Court here the writ of the State of Maryland of Capias ad respondendum against Walter Conner directed to the Sheriff of Baltimore County in form following towit. State of Maryland to the Sheriff of Baltimore County Greeting. We command you that you take Walter Conner late of Baltimore County if he shall be found in your bailiwick and that him so taken you safely keep so that you have his body before the Justices of Baltimore County Court at the Court house in the same County on the first monday in September next to answer unto John Hay and Margaret Hay of a plea of trespass upon the case and wrongs. Hereof fail not (Fifty cents) at your peril and have you there and then this Writ Witness Henry Ridgely Gentleman chief Justice of our said Court the 3rd day of May in

Com. rec. C.
L. Cents