May Term 1812.

Harper — William Patterson & others
vs
Sept. 4 — Richard S. Thomas

Be it remembered, that heretofore, to wit, on the second day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twelve, George Liggett, Elizabeth Liggett and William Patterson by Robert Goodloe Harper their attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the Court of appeals here, the writ of the State of Maryland of Fieri facias to the Sheriff of Kent county directed, in the words and of the tenor following, viz. Maryland Sc. The State of Maryland to the Sheriff of Kent County Greeting. Whereas at a Court of Chancery begun and held at the City of Annapolis on the fourth Tuesday of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and nine, in a certain cause in the said Court then depending on the Bill of Complaint in the said Court exhibited by a certain Richard Snowden Thomas against George Liggett, Elizabeth Liggett and William Patterson, it was adjudged, ordered and decreed by the said Court of chancery that a certain conveyance from the said George Liggett to the said Elizabeth Liggett, bearing date on the fifth day of October in the year eighteen hundred and three, also a certain conveyance from the said Elizabeth Liggett to the said William Patterson bearing date on the twenty ninth day of May in the year eighteen hundred and four, both of them purporting to be conveyances of the same property as described in the Indenture of Mortgage from the said George Liggett to the said Richard Snowden Thomas, be and the same were thereby declared to be null and void and of no effect in Law or Equity, and so forth, as appears by inspecting the record of proceedings of the same court of Chancery brought before the court of appeals for the western shore at the City of Annapolis by the said George Liggett, Elizabeth Liggett and William Patterson for certain causes of error alleged to have been therein, to be corrected: And whereas at a Court of appeals begun and held for the western shore at the City of Annapolis aforesaid, on the third monday in December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eleven, it was in the said Court of appeals adjudged, ordered and decreed that the said decree of the said Court of chancery given in form aforesaid, for sundry errors therein be, and the same was thereby reversed, annulled and held entirely as void; and it was also adjudged, ordered and decreed that the said George Liggett, Elizabeth Liggett and William Patterson should recover against the said Richard Snowden Thomas the sum of one hundred and thirty Dollars and ninety one cents and two thirds of a cent for their costs and charges by them sustained, laid out and expended about their defence of the said suit in the said court of chancery, and by them put to, laid out and expended about their appeal of and upon the premises,

May Term 1812.

as by the record of proceedings of the said court of appeals manifestly appears. Therefore you are hereby commanded that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of the said Richard Snowden Thomas being in your bailiwick, you cause to be made the said sum of one hundred and thirty Dollars and ninety one cents and two thirds of a cent, the costs & charges aforesaid: and have you that sum of money before the said court of appeals to be held for the western shore at the city of annapolis aforesaid, on the third monday in June next, to render unto the said George Liggett, Elizabeth Liggett and William Patterson the costs and charges aforesaid. Hereof fail not at your peril, and have you then there this writ. Witness the honourable Jeremiah Townley Chase Esquire Chief Judge of the said court of appeals the sixteenth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and eleven

Issued the 2d. of January 1812. (Harper) — Th. Harris, Jun. Clk

And now at this day, to wit, the Last monday in May being the twenty fifth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twelve, to which said day June term in the year aforesaid was changed by an act of assembly passed at November Session last, come into the court of appeals here the said George Liggett, Elizabeth Liggett and William Patterson by their attorney aforesaid; and the Sheriff of Kent county aforesaid, to whom the said writ was in form aforesaid directed, to wit, Edward Wilkins gentleman, makes return thereof to the court here thus endorsed, to wit: "Laid on goods and chattels, and made to amount of costs and satisfied Plaintiffs attorney) to that amount. E. Wilkins Shff of Kent county."

The Plaintiffs by their attorney) aforesaid acknowledges satisfaction on the 10th July 1812 to the amount of $131 being the amount of the costs due to the Plaintiffs on this Execution: The residue of the costs paid to the Clerk, viz 50 cents a D.B.C.

Test (Th. Harris, Jun. Clk

Thomas R. Smith assee
of William McBomas
vs
John Barret Onion

Be it remembered that heretofore, to wit, on the third day of January in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and twelve the said Thomas R. Smith assignee of William McBomas by Thomas Buchanan his attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the Court of Appeals here, the writ of the State of Maryland of Fieri facias to the Sheriff of Harford County directed in the words and of the tenor following, to wit: