Court of Appeals – June term 1817 – Error.

Isaac Paul vs Richard Owings } Judgment affirmed for $5093.33 current money Debt $11000 current money – Damages – $7.18⅓ costs

The Damages to be released on – payment of interest on the debt from the 23d of January 1813 till paid – Paid $364.50 on the 2nd of April 1814 – Court of Appeals costs $10.62 – Ad Cc 70

Same vs Same } Judgment affirmed for $364.50 current money Debt $800 current money. Damages $7.18⅓ costs & costs.

The damages to be released on payment of interest on the Debt from the 2nd of April 1814 till paid Court of – Appeals costs $10.62

7.18⅓
10.62
70
18 40 1/3
18.40 1/3
36.80 2/3
66
37.46

Additional cost 70 –

Test – Th. Harris Clk.

May 5. 1818 by the Guilford Mills	$5.000
June 15 1818 by Cash of S. Brown	200
June 19 1818 by Cash of S. Brown	590
May 5 By Bbl to the amount	312
Nov 14 – By Wheat to the amount	413.50
	65 15.50

Upon which instrument of writing was endorsed as follows to it Owings vs Paul – H.H.O. No 1 – 66½ – Fd with me by Complainant as evidence in his behalf in the cause this 11th day of January 1854 – Vernon Dorsey seal Commissioner

James Owings vs Paul & others } In the Circuit Court for Howard District

In Equity

It is agreed that Basil Owings & Henry H. Owings examined in the case of Owings vs Baldwin & Wheeler in Chancery, are the persons of the same name who have been examined in this – cause, and that their depositions in chief and in cross – examination in that cause, may be read from the printed record of the cause, as evidence of what they did say on such examination and on without any objection on the ground that the same depositions were not exhibited to the wit- nesses in this cause but subject to all other exceptions, the same being taken as offered on the part of the defen- dants – Th. S. Alexander for Owings

R. J. Brent for Defendants

Paul vs Owings } Cross Bill
James Owings vs Paul &c } Bill in Equity

Agreement

It is agreed on the hearing of this cause, either party may read subject to exception from the printed copy of the –

Record of the case of James Owings vs Baldwin & Wheeler in the Court of Appeals, the copy of any Deed, bond, bill, answer, judgment, execution or other exhibit or proceedings to have the same effect in these causes as if the original thereof were produced & duly proved & returned under the Commission in this cause, and subject to the same exceptions only that the original – would be – Also that either party shall have liberty to introduce into the cause any original letters of the other party or other – document, and if the genuineness of the same is not admitted he shall have an opportunity of proving the same It is un- derstood that the short copies to be read from the record of Owings vs Baldwin & Wheeler are to be taken to have the effect of full transcript properly authenticated & that James Owings is to have the privilege of filing a transcript of the fieri facias issued from the Court of Appeals on the judgment of Owings vs Paul or Paul vs Owings and the sureties to his supersedias March 18. 1854 –

R. J. Brent for Paul & others
Th. S. Alexander for Owings

Upon which instrument of writing was endorsed as follows to it Owings vs Paul &c. Agreement – Filed with me this 30 day of April 1854

Vernon Dorsey Commissioner

James Owings vs Isaac Paul et al } In Circuit Court for Howard County

In Equity

At the Execution of the annexed Commission issued out of the Circuit Court for Howard County on the Equity side thereof and to me directed and empowering me to examine witnesses in the cause depending in the said Court between James Owings as Complainant & Isaac Paul & Charles H. D. Paul, Elizabeth – Lochiffely & Mary Paul as Defendants.

I Vernon Dorsey Commissioner therein named having taken the oath annexed to the said Commission to be taken by him did, on Thursday the fifth day of January 1854 at 10 O'Clock A. M. at the office of T. S. Alexander Esq. in the city of Baltimore pursuant to previous notice thereof given to the said parties then & there in the presence of Thomas S. Alexander Esq. Solicitor for the Complainant & of Robert J. Brent Esq Solicitor for the Defendants proceed to take the following depositions to wit: Geo. L. Sluckett, a witness of lawful age produced on the part of the Complainant being duly sworn on the Holy Gospels of Almighty God & examined to interrogatories filed with the – Commissioner by Compt. & herewith returned deposeth & saith as follows to the

First Interrogatory – I have been acquainted with all the parties to this suit for many years –

Second Interrogatory – I knew the Isaac Paul referred to I knew