

lawyers retained by the violators of the liquor law in Bel Air and enabled them to offer it in evidence in open Court in order to discredit Wien.

The League is convinced that your Board has not been informed of this inconsistent conduct of its captain of detectives and disclaims any desire publicly to cast any reflection upon your action in declining to furnish the letters in question. The League is assured that your Board is also in ignorance of other and further mis-statements of fact which are contained in the answers of the two Baltimore detectives and in their testimony under oath given in Court. The League, however, insists that it has as much right to know the contents of the letters of Captain Titus as the saloon-keepers of Bel Air, and respectfully renews the request herein contained.

Respectfully, yours,
MORRIS A. SOPER,
Attorney for Anti-Saloon League."

Mr. Soper called upon the Board personally to receive the Board's answer to his communication, as above, and said in addition to the statements contained in said letter, that at least one of the communications from Captain Titus to Captain Pumphrey referred to had been offered to him to read at the trial of a liquor case in Bel Air, and that he at that time did not desire to read it.

The Board decided that, in as much as Captain Pumphrey had been willing to have one of the letters received by him from Captain Titus in relation to Mr. Wein made public at the trial, and in as much, further, as both letters are of the same purport that they could not be longer looked upon as confidential communications, and told Mr. Soper that he might have copies as desired. The Secretary was directed accordingly.

Letter of Mr. Soper filed as Exhibit No. 842.

Complaint of Louis D. Passano.
vs.
Officer Thomas McGrain, N. District.

In the matter of complaint preferred by Mr. Louis D. Passano, 1161 Calvert Building, against Officer Thomas McGrain, Northern District, as referred to in the proceedings of Oct. 15, and 20, 1902

Mr. Passano called upon the Board to urge upon it trial of the officer on the charge of neglect of duty in having failed to protect him in his rights while on the street car of the United Railways & Electric Company, October 13, 1902.

The Board after discussing the matter fully with Mr. Passano decided that a sufficient case had not been made out against Of-