

indictments against a certain David A. Fisher and a certain George Guy, and a number of other persons in said county, for violations of the liquor law thereof.

III.

That after the finding of the indictments aforesaid by the Grand Jury in Baltimore City the said Pumphrey, knowing that the said Wein had secured evidence of violations of the liquor law of Baltimore City, and that the said Wein was a necessary witness for the state in such cases, and with the intent to discredit the testimony of the said Wein, did undertake to find if possible something detrimental to the character and reputation of the said Wein; and that the said Pumphrey with the said end in view did secure from George F. Titus, Captain of the Detective Force of New York City, a certain letter of the date of June 18th., which contained certain false statements injuriously reflecting upon the character of the said Wein, and your informant, the Maryland Anti-Saloon League, alleges and believes that the said Pumphrey could readily have discovered the falsity of the statements set out in the said letter had he desired so to do.

IV.

That one of said cases in Harford County, viz, State vs. Fisher, was tried in the Circuit Court for Harford County at Bel Air on September 20, 1902, and that said Pumphrey was a witness for the defense therein as to the reputation of said Wein and said Sperzel, and freely gave his assistance to said Fisher and aided him in the presentation of his case with the result that said Fisher was acquitted of the charge against him.

V.

That said Pumphrey testified under oath in Bel Air in the Guy case, which was tried on October 7th and 8th. 1902, and has further stated in writing to this Board that he had no communication with the defense at Bel Air before the trial of the Fisher case, and that when he received his summons in said case he did not know what or about whom he was expected to testify, whereas in truth and in fact he