

September 17, 1902, from Titus to Pumphrey, which contained false statements in regard to said Wein, and that said letter was offered in evidence in open Court in the Fisher case and in the Guy case to discredit the said Wein, but that when this informant desired access to said letter on the 27th of October, 1902, said Pumphrey did state to this Board that this informant should not have access to said letter because it was in the nature of a confidential communication from a fellow detective in New York.

IX.

That said Pumphrey testified under oath in the Guy case that he had not in any way whatever communicated to Robert M. McLane, State's Attorney for Baltimore City, any information concerning the character or reputation of the said Wein, who was, as hereinbefore set out, a witness in certain liquor cases in Baltimore City to be summoned on behalf of the State.

X.

Your informant further states that it made an investigation into the character and reputation of its said agents, the said Wein and the said Sperzel, before their employment by it, and again in the interval between the trial of the Fisher case and the trial of the Guy case at Bel Air, and stands ready to prove to your Board that they are worthy of belief.

Your informant therefore requests the Board to fix a day for the public hearing of this complaint, so that it may produce witnesses to prove the facts herein set forth, and requests that State's Attorney Robert M. McLane may be summoned as a witness to give such testimony as may be pertinent.

Respectfully submitted,

(signed)-----MORRIS A. SOPER  
Attorney for the Maryland Anti-Saloon League.

(signed)-----S.E. Nicholson,  
Superintendent of the Maryland  
Anti-Saloon League.

At 2.50 P.M., the Board adjourned the case until ten o'clock Tuesday November 25, 1902.