

Patrolman James L. Roche.
Complaint from Philip Fine, 9 Pine street.

In the matter of the complaint of Philip Fine, 9 N.Pine st., against Patrolman James L. Roche of the Western District, of interfering with the business of the said Fine and demanding and accepting money from the said Fine for facilitating payment of bill held by him against Kitty Barber, 649 Raborg street, etc.

It was ordered that the Marshal be instructed to prefer charges against the said James L. Roche in the case referred to. (See Exhibit No. 3004.)

Patrolman Wm. T.Tudor, N.E.District.

In the matter of complaint preferred by Mrs. Annie T. Sadler, 1349 York Road, against Patrolman William T. Tudor, Northeastern District, of improper advances on the part of the said patrolman towards the complainant Mrs. Sadler, a report, as follows, was received:-

"Baltimore, Md., July 12th., 1910.

To The Honorable,

Board of Police Commissioners.

Gentlemen:-

In connection with attached complaint of Mrs. Annie T. Sadler, 1349 York Road, alleging unpolicemanlike conduct on the part of Patrolman William T. Tudor, I beg to state that I closely interrogated the officer and secured his signed statement, which is hereto appended.

In my opinion, the uncorroborative charges of Mrs. Sadler are hardly grave enough to warrant Patrolman Tudor being cited before your Honorable Body. I would, therefore, recommend that complaint of Mrs. Sadler be filed.

Respectfully,

THOMAS F. FARNAN, Marshal."

The recommendation of the Marshal, as above, was not concurred in. It was ordered instead that the Marshal be instructed to prefer charges of Conduct unbecoming an officer against the said Patrolman William T. Tudor. (See Exhibit No. 3004-1/2).