

defence but your petitioner has no knowledge of when this rule went into effect and at the time of the employment of Mr. S. S. Field and Mr. Luther E. Mackall he had no knowledge of such a rule or regulation in the department or he would have applied to the Board to employ counsel.

EIGHTH: That the payment of such a large sum in defence of unfounded charges seems to your petitioner to be a hardship upon him and while it is entirely proper to investigate such charges against members of the Police Department this Petitioner feels that upon and adjudication of his innocence which was established by the adjudication of "Not Guilty," he should be compensated for the amount of money spent by him in his defence.

NINTH, That your petitioner explains his delay in filing this petition by saying that the answer to Mr. Fields at the time the matter was fresh in every one's mind deterred him from taking any steps looking to the payments of the amounts spent by him. Nevertheless a short time later he determined to make application to this Board for re-payment to him of said amount. He was then advised that it would probably be best to wait until the unsettled condition of the Police Board, due to the unfounded charges preferred by the Governor should be settled. And he feels that the time has now come when he should make formal application to this Board for the sums expended.

TENTH, That an itemized list of his expenditures, so far as he can, the items are as follows:

Mr. S. S. Fields & L.E.Mackall, Attorney fee.	\$200.00
For Detective service and other expenses	
to Spandauer & Schmidt.	25.00
To Schleigh & Co.	40.00
to J. H. Hardesty.	70.00
To R. H. Hardesty.	25.00
To Robert Lafferty.	15.00
To Thomas Collins.	2.00
Trip to Easton to get copy of the Criminal Court records of Dr.J.H.Williams, A. Wilson A. Golder.	20.00
Trip to New York to get two records for the same purpose and the bringing to Baltimore of one witness to testify for same.	96.00