The Transcript.

PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY.

—BY—

The Transcript Publishing Co

CHESTERTOWN, MD.

Subscription $1.00 Per Year

R. H. COLLINS, T. D. BOWERS, EDITORS.

SATURDAY, MAY 27, 1905.

To the Democratic Voters of Kent County.

Pursuant to the action of the Democratic County Executive Committee on Tuesday, May 16, 1905, notice is hereby given to the Democratic voters of Kent county, that the delegates elected at said primaries are empowered to select six delegates, with half a vote each, at the county convention which meets in Chestertown on TUESDAY, JUNE 13th, to represent Kent county in the Democratic State Convention to be held at such time and place hereafter as shall be named by the Democratic State Central Committee, to nominate one person for the office of Comptroller of the Treasury of Maryland.

ADAM F. HUEY,
JOHN M. COMEGYS,
SAMUEL J. WICKES,
State Central Committee for Kent County, Md.

DEMOCRATIC PRIMARIES.

TO BE HELD SATURDAY JUNE 10TH.

Plans and Modes of How They Must be Conducted.

Pursuant to the action of the County Executive Committee, the Democratic voters will meet in their respective election districts and precincts on Saturday, June 10th, 1905, between the hours of 2 and 6 o'clock P. M., as follows:

At Millington for the First Precinct of the First Election District;
At Galena for the Second Precinct of the First Election District:
At Kennedyville for the Second Election District:
At Worton for the Third Election District;
At the Court House, Chestertown, for the Fourth Election District;
At Rock Hall for the First Precinct of the Fifth Election District;
At Edesville for the Second Precinct of the Fifth Election District;
At Fairlee for the Sixth Election District;
At Pomona for the Seventh Election District,

for the purpose of appointing ten delegates from each of the Seven Election Districts to meet in Chestertown on Tuesday, the 13th day of June, 1905, at 11 A. M., to nominate

Two candidates for the House of Delegates,
One candidate for Sheriff,
One candidate for Treasurer,
Two Candidates for County Commissioners,
One candidate for County Surveyor,

The said primary election shall be on the seven district plan and each of the Seven election districts of the county shall be entitled to ten (10) delegates each in the County Convention which shall meet to nominate a Democratic county ticket on Tuesday, June 13th, 1905.

All qualified voters of the county who have been recognized as Democrats and whose names appear upon the registration books of the district in which they present themselves, shall be entitled to vote, also all young Democrats who on account of their age, are not now registered, but who will be entitled to registration and to vote at the coming November election, shall be entitled to vote at said primaries.

If there is any contest for the election of delegates in any of the seven election districts of the county and a desire is expressed for a vote, the judges in each district, where the desire for a vote is expressed, shall appoint 2 clerks and shall open and close the polls at the hour above mentioned.

Upon the closing of the polls at the hours herein directed, the judges shall proceed to count the votes cast, and shall certify to the person receiving the hightest number of votes as delegates. Whereupon it shall be the duty of said delegates to proceed to Chestertown on said Tuesday in June, and cast the vote of the district in convention and nominate a county ticket.

Should no desire be expressed for a ballot, then the district meetings may select delegates by a viva voce vote, or by committee nominations. The mode of procedure herein prescribed shall be strictly followed, any other method will not be legal, as this committee has full power so to decree.

CHARLES L. MILLER, Chairman.
JOS. S. CLARK, Secretary.

The following named persons were appointed to serve as judges at the above named primaries:

Millington—Pearley Moffett, Gove Everett, Wm. T. Jones.
Galena—Frank P. Cochran, Benj. P. Walters, H. J. Gray.
Second District—E. C. Bowers, Chas. G. Watts, John Redmile.
Third District—Thomas J. Willis, Arthur L. Harris, Joseph Duyer.
Fourth District—Harry Cannan, Alwyn M. Culp, Charles W. Kibler.
First Precinct, 5th Dist.—Elmer E. Leary, Clarence Hersch, Milton L. Maslin.
Second Precinct, 5th Dist.—William Burgess, John L. Lewis, Edgar H. Strong.
Sixth District—Mahlon Lee, Walter B. Barnes, Archie Ramage.
Seventh District—Victor Hendrickson, Wesley McCleary, J. H. Thompson.

All Democrats wishing to be candidates in these primaries will give their names to Jos. S. Clark, of Still Pond, secretary, together with the proportionate cost for primary expenses, which is estimated at $4.

CHARLES L. MILLER,
Chairman Kent Co. Executive Com.
JOSEPH S. CLARK, Secretary.

HUSH, little babies, don't you cry! You'll be angels bye and bye.

ADDICKS says he's out of politics. He may as well be, since he has lost a big slice of his fortune in a lawsuit recently.

THE CAT'S COME BACK, and somebody has a "wolf in the back" again. It is even more painful this time than it was before.

MAYOR WEAVER, of Philadelphia, is making things hum in the political world of the City of Brotherly Love. He may prove another Folk.

THE Democratic State Central Committe held a conference on Thursday at Democratic headquarters in the Eutaw House, Baltimore. A day for holding the State Convention will be fixed by chairman Vandiver. It will not be later than Sept. 20. It was an enthusiastic meeting, and was attended by leading Democrats from all parts of the State. Messrs. Huey, Comegys and Wickes, Kent's State Central Committee was present, as was also Senator Foxwell.

IT HAS taken some people a mighty long time to realize that a minority has some decent rights. There was a time in local politics when the minority was considered of such little importance as never to be considered even in the appointment of a constable for the Fourth District. People change their views readily when *they* become the minority.

THE ANTI-ORGANIZATION DEMOCRATS of Queen Anne's nominated the following full county ticket on Thursday afternoon:

Clerk, Samuel Seney, 6th District; County Commissioners, John C. Smith, 7th District; C. L. Goodhand, 4th; and John H. Evans, Second District; Road Commissioners, John Bishop, Fifth District, Sheriff, Albert C. Merchant, Second District; House of Delegates, C. L. Joslin, J. Harry Covington, and D. P. Smith; Surveyor, B. S. Elliott.

This ticket will be opposed to the organization ticket which will be nominated in Centreville in Tuesday next.

THE *Kent News* made a great mistake when it said the list of judges was *typewritten*. The editors were not at the meeting. They heard someone say the list was typewritten, and thinking it was so, they published it, and at the same time conveyed the impression that they were written by Mr. Barroll. The *resolutions* were typewritten, but by a gentleman who had no connection with Mr. Barroll or his office. Mr. Barroll gets the blame for many things for which he is in nowise reponsible. He had no more to do with the formation of the committee's resolutions or the selection of the judges in the various districts than if he had not been in the county. But, if he did, he had just as much right as anyone else who knows a thing or two about politics.

UNDEMOCRATIC EDITORIALS.

It is a matter of very poor taste for the *Kent News* to undertake to dictate the policies of Democratic meetings or to pose as the advocate of high ideals of government at such a late day as this. Any one who remembers the dark days through which the Democratic party has passed since 1895, during which time up until two years ago, the iron hand of tyranny was laid with such a grip that the minority nor anything else was shown a decent respect for their right, will see how unbecoming it is in the *Kent News* to say anything about "undemocratic proceedings" or undemocratic anything else. A paper that has obstinately refused to place the Democratic ticket in its editorial columns since 1895, for fear of offending some of their Republican friends, has no claims whatever upon the Democratic party that would justify it in dictating the policies of party meetings. Their criticisims, therefore, of the County Executive Committee on May 16, fell like so much chaff upon the wind, and only served to make the position they are endeavoring to assume all the more laughable and ridiculous. Who believes for one moment that the *Kent News* would not have done just exactly what it censures in others, who by réason of a *fairly* and *honestly* won majority in the committee, they had a right to do? Their idea of self-government has always been *to look out for self first*, and let the minority get what they can. So long as they could play the game successfully, all was beautiful and serene. But the day of reckoning has come. The hand writing is on the wall, and the people of the connty demand justice and right. If the *Kent News'* friends had been in the majority on the committee and had done as the majority did do, they would have thought it perfectly right and proper. They have always thought so, when they had command of the situation. But every man who has been appointed a judge in the county is a dishonest man, according to the inference from their editorial, who is opposed to the methods of the *Kent News*. We should like to ask the *Kent News* why they are opposed to these gentlemen who have been appointed judges? Are they not all honest men, and can they not be depended upon to give *every* candidate a fair and honest show? No man has a right to insinuate that a man is dishonest because he is a political opponent; and it is far more undemocratic to berate honest men, than it is to acquiese in the will of the majority. The editorial in the *News* was a flagrant misstatement of facts, made for the sole purpose of creating discord and bitterness in a contest that should be a friendly one. Such actions serve to disrupt a party far more than do the lawful actions of a majority of an important committee, and if the Democratic party is defeated in the election, such editorials as appeared in the *Kent News* last Saturday will be largely responsible for it. We believe the People's wing of the party is conducting a fair and square fight. They have not accused their opponents of anything they had a right to do in this campaign, and we believe they are conducting a primary contest that is for the best interest of the party in the election. It is, therefore, not only undemocratic for the *News* or any one else to accuse the opposing faction of dishonorable motives or unheard-of proceedings when they *know* such to be untrue.

The call of the County Executive Committee was precisely similar to that of two years ago, was called by the same chairman and the method of conducting the primaries is exactly similar to that of two years ago, except that at that time the Fourth and Seventh Districts were combined on a county commissioner—Mr. A. C. Loud. Now, why should the Old Organization change front and want the candidates to run through the county, or want a combination of the districts. The conditions are precisely the same as they were two years ago. This the *Kent News* knows as well as any one else, and whether there is but one typewriter in Chestertown, and whether the list of judges was typewritten or not, is perfectly foreign to the question. It is rather a distinction for the gentleman who owns the typewriter, but that does not matter. The minority got a judge in every voting place in the county *where they wished one, and it was the intention of the majority that they get that many and no more.* The majority named two judges in each voting place and left the third judge to be named by the minority, and where they refused to name the third judge the majority filled the vacancy. Two years ago when the "Old Organization" was in control, the minority got not *one* judge, and they know it, and all this talk about the rights of the minority is *stuff*, and does not amount to a hill of beans. It is disgusting to their own adherents who know all about how things have been done in the past. Such editorials as appeared in the *News* are as unjust as they are undemocratic, and we do not believe the Democracy will stand for such insults. The time has gone by when the people will be driven to do penance to an oligarchy, and long since have Democratic people reckoned the days of those politicians who have played the tyrant and have failed to consider the rights of the people.

PEOPLE'S COLUMN.

☞ The columns of the TRANSCRIPT are open to the proper discussion of all topics of public interest or importance. Whether the editors agree with the sentiment expressed or not, the people should and may be heard through the TRANSCRIPT's columns—the paper will be the People's Forum from which they may be heard on all subjects—purely personal matter excepted. The Editors are not responsible in any manner for articles that appear in this column.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—I read the following little editorial in the *Kent News* last Saturday:

"The policy that ignores any faction of a party has proven fatal in the past and should be abandoned for a broader one that will take in all Democrats. The 'rule or ruin' policy has no place in any party and he who practices such should be defeated overwhelmingly."

As I read this article, my memory went back to years ago, and I wondered if the *Kent News* and its editors were sorry for what they had done, or were trying to curry favor with the people in order to get back the power they have lost by their own misdeeds in Kent county politics. It's a mighty dangerous thing for the *Kent News* to talk about the "rule or ruin" policy, for the history of Kent county politics is too fresh in the minds of the people for the editors of that paper to talk about such a thing. Beginning with the year 1895 and coming clear down to 1903, the policy of the Democratic machine was a "rule or ruin" game, and every Democrat in the county knows all about it. I would like to ask the *Kent News* to answer a few questions. What kind of policy was it that defeated Judge James Alfred Pearce by *six votes* for the State Senate in 1895, and caused the defeat of nearly the entire Democratic ticket in that year? What caused the defeat of the ticket in that year when for years past the county had been giving substantial Democratic majorities? Judge Pearce was just as popular with the masses of the people in 1895, as he was when he was elected to the bench in 1897, but he was a man of independent thought and purpose, and his election to the Senate was not at all pleasing to the Democratic machine, which controlled the party machinery and could "fix things" as they wanted them. In 1899 Messrs. Hynson, Vickers and Slay conducted a memorable primary contest against the Usilton and Smith combine. Mr. Hynson won the primaries and nominated a good ticket. It is well known to the people of the county how the ticket was slaughtered and how the Republican party acquired control of the county. In view of what happened to Mr. Hynson and his ticket in 1899, it seems very strange to the people of the county that he and many of his friends are now with Messrs. Usilton and Smith in the present contest. The Democracy of the county cannot be fooled any longer by such methods as have been employed in past years, and while they have every respect for Mr. Hynson, they are opposed to his being used as a stick to pull the chestnuts of Messrs. Usilton and Smith out of the fire. The "rule or ruin" policy, indeed! It has been nothing but the "rule or ruin" policy with some of the party workers who are opposing the people in the present contest, and the people are simply tired of it, and as the editors of the *News* truly expressed it in their editorial, "the rule or ruin policy has no place in any party, and he who practices such should be defeated overwhelmingly." It seems to me that they have used a club to break their own heads with. They accuse our County Executive Committee of doing what they have done for years past, and at the same time they have made a vain attempt to inspire faith in the sincerity of their utterances. I am a conservative man in politics; I believe in giving every man his just deserts, but any man who deliberately charges people with doing the same things they have always done, and makes it appear as the most glaring crime against the rights of others, deserves the same fate he would bring down upon others. It is not only undemocratic in the *Kent News* to publish such editorials, but it is a great lack of good faith to charge dishonest motives to those who may be opposed to you in politics.

Two years ago when the Usilton-Smith machine was in control of the county, they did not give the minority even *one* judge in the primaries, and treated that element of the party with the utmost contempt just as they have always done. They are now endeavoring to regain control of the county through the popularity of Mr. Hynson, and if they do, they will serve Mr. Hynson and his friends just as *they* know Usilton and Smith have served them in years past. I shall vote in the interests of the people and of the Democratic party in the primary contest, as all citizens who desire honest and popular government should do, and I shall use what little influence I have to prevent the "rule or ruin" policy ever again getting control of the Democratic party in Kent county.

VOTER.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—I was somewhat surprised but without misgivings to read the editorials in last Saturday's issue of the *Kent News*. They say "the policy that ignores any faction of a party have proven fatal in the past." We admit that statement to be correct. The Barroll wing of the party, as the *Kent News* sees fit to call it, had full control of the nominating convention two years ago. They could have named *every* candidate on the ticket. Did they do so? No. The organization was allowed to name more than half.

Judging from their actions since would they have done as much? We think not. The lines were tightened. The Smith-Usilton crowd took a determined stand, and if there is to be any "rule or ruin" polity followed up, we leave it with the people of Kent county to judge who are the most likely to follow such a policy. We are opposed to factional fights in any other than a friendly way, but when the gauntlet is thrown down we are ready and willing to help take it up. The people of the county know the condition of the Democratic party prior to 1902. If they elected half a ticket they did well. The Old Organization had been managing affairs, and with what success is too well known to need repeating.

Messrs. Editors:—Can you explain in what way "the Democraiic people are reckoning the days of the power of the people who are responsible for the action of the County Executive Committee. We don't believe a simon-pure Democrat can maliciously help defeat a ticket because a majority ruled in the meeting held May 16th. There are so-called Democrats who would be delighted to see a ticket defeated that was not made up exactly to suit their tastes. Who, Messrs. editors of the *Kent News*, has shown a lack of conservatism in the past? Who has in the past managed the "machine-made affairs" that have very nearly ruined the Democratic party prior to 1902? Is it the duty of the Democratic party in this county to submit, as in the past, to the "rule or ruin" policy as followed up until two years ago. Mr. Barroll may be slurred and vilified, but if he has the people back of him why should not he as well as any one else be a leader even though he furnish a "typewritten" list of the judges for the coming primaries. He may or may not have done such a thing. I am not prepared to say, but feel sure that if he did, the people from the various districts were consulted and the list, though "typewritten," was adopted by the committee. Has the *Kent News* people forgotten a few years back when they published a list of the delegates from the different districts to meet in convention, said delegates being elected Saturday afternoon, yet the *News*, going to press Friday evening could give the names of said delegates to the man? When, where and by whom were those delegates selected? The TRANSCRIPT is right when it "says, we should not censure other people for doing what we under similar circumstances have done many times before."

Let us do what our conscience dictates in this contest, but after June 13 put our shoulder to the wheel and do all in our power to help elect the ticket that is nominated, whether it be made up exactly as we would have it or otherwise, is the hope and desire of a

SECOND DISTRICT DEMOCRAT.

A Good Suggestion.

Mr. C. B. Wainwright of Lemon city, Fla., has written the manufactories that much better results are obtained from the use of Chamberlain's Colic, Cholera and Diarrhoea Remedy in cases of pains in the stomach, colic and cholera morbus by taking it in water as hot as can be drunk. That when taken in this way the effect is double in rapidity. "It seems to get at the right spot instantly," he says. For sale by M. A. Toulson, Druggest.

TRUSTEES' SALE

—OF—

A VALUABLE FARM

Near Golt's, Kent County, Md.

UNDER and by virtue of an order of resale, passed in chancery cause entitled James J. Archer and Hope H. Barroll, trustees, vs. Henry W. Archer of Robert, No. 919 chancery, which order bears date April 26th, 1905, the undersigned trustees were ordered and directed to sell the real estate described below at the risk of Ferdinand Little, the undersigned trustees will offer at public sale in front of the store of Adam F. Huey in the town of Masseys, on

FRIDAY, JUNE 2d, 1905,

at 3.30 P. M., ALL THE

Following Real Estate

consisting of all the residue of the lands of the late Henry W. Archer of Robert, situate at or near Golt's in the First Election District of Kent county Maryland, and covered by het Deed of Trust filed in said cause; it is estimated that this tract of land consists of

125 Acres of Land

more or less, the exact number of Acres being unknown to the trustees; the said land having been surveyed and ploted by Gilbert B. Taylor surveyor, and lies to the West of the public road from Golt's to Fox's Hole and North of the Queen Anne and Kent County Railroad and lies on the public road from Golt's to Masseys; it adjoins the lands of J. Rolph on the west and the Peacock farm on the northwest; the plot thereof can be examined at the store of Adam F. Huey; the land will be offered as a whole (neither the number of acres nor the exact lines will be guaranteed, althsugh they are believed to be correct) this property was originally sold to Ferdinand Little for $800 and he has paid $300 on account of the purchase money.

TERMS OF SALE—One half of the purchase money cash on the day of sale, one half of the residue thereof in six months from the day of sale and the balance in twelve months from the day of sale. All unpaid purchase money to bear interest from the day of sale, and to be secured to the satisfaction of the trustees. All title papers at the cost of purchaser.

HOPE H. BARROLL,
JAMES J. ARCHER,
Trustees.

may6-ts.

COUNTY COMMISSIONERS.

The County Commissioners met on Tuesday.

The following accounts were passed:

Jno H. Cain, 1st dis	$ 6.60
Geo. H. Dixon, 1st dist	21.47
Chas. O. Cacy, 1st dist	36.48
Jno. Kelley, 1st dist	33.37
W. E. Mathews, lumber, 1st dist	50.44
Thos. Darrell, 1st dist	53.67
Jno. C. Briscoe, 1st dist	12.50
Jas. W. Mulfor', 1st dist	.92
J. B. Crowding, 2nd dist	44.75
Walter W. Crowding, 2nd dist	20.85
H. M. Robinson, 2nd dist	21.00
A. W. Lasage, 2nd dist	19.55
Jas. Johnston, 2nd dist	52.00
W. W. Webb, 2nd dist	33.49
Wm. S. Moffett, 2nd dist	25.53
Edward Faulkner 2d dist	54.00
Walter Taylor, 3rd dist	88.07
Wm. H. Cooper, 3rd dist	17.25
A. J. Tolson, 3rd dist	53.80
Wm. D. Carter, 3rd dist	57.30
Chas Conwell, 3rd dist	29.70
Gears & Sheats, repairs to machine	1.75
Harry T. Rash, 3rd dist	40.70
J. D. Bachhus, pipe, 4th dist	32.07
" " lumber, 4th dist	84.00
Thos. F. Faulkner, 4th dist	13.76
B. R. McCauley	13.38
A. H. Crew, 4th dist	5.00
Bedford Titter, 4th dist	15.75
Saml. Maslin, 4th dist	51.10
Wm. Atkinson, 5th dist	21.00
S. T. Newcomb, 5th dist	59.25
S. D. Leaverton,	16.74
J. Alfred Bramble	123.66
Fletcher & Thompson	38.67
Harry McWhorter, two new assts	.50
Walter H. Hadaway	2.50
A. Alexander, cert	5.73
J. C. Johnson, const., 2nd dist	2.25
Dr. H. G. Simpers, for birth and death cert	31.50
Wm. Krusen coffin's furnished	6.00
Jno. Murphy, county sta. books use of elks. office	121.11
R. F. Parks, use of Coms. office	4.00
Moody & Lusby, mdse, use of jail prisoners.	32.90
John Bartley, mdse use of jail	42.22
Mary Smith, pension	10.00
Sidney P. Townshend, atty fees Apr. term 1905	25.00
Lewin W. Wickes, atty fees Apr. term, '05.	25.00
Richard D. Hynson, attorney fees	25.00
John D. Urie	30.00
Herbert E. Perkins	15.00
Marion deK. Smith	15.00
Check received through L. W. Wickes of J. D. Urie in Godwin suit	332.22

PUBLIC SALE

—OF A—

Valuable FARM,

Magnificently located on the Chesapeake Bay.

THE UNDERSIGNED will offer at public sale in front of the Court House door in Chestertown, Maryland, on

Tuesday, June 6th, 1905,

between the hours of 11 o'clock A. M., and 12 o'clock noon,

ALL THAT FARM,

lying on the shores of the Chesapeake Bay, having a Front of about one mile on the bay shore with a good landing for lime, grain and other farm products. About 60 acres are well set with chestnut and oak timber, and sufficient locust for the use of the farm. The character of the soil is a light loam, naturally of the best quality and it readily responds to improvements. The land is well adapted to the growth of peaches and of all kinds of fruit and trucking, and it grows fine wheat and corn crops. It is on the Free Rural Delivery service, two miles from Gale's steamboat wharf and convenient to schools, churches, etc., and is well fenced.

This farm is beautifully situated on one of the finest bodies of water in the world. There is at present as far as is known no other Bay Shore Parm on the market in Kent County. This sale offers a rare opportunity to anyone seeking a beautiful home.

The farm contains

333 ACRES OF LAND,

MORE OR LESS.

The improvements consist of an excellent THREE STORY

FRAME DWELLING,



with wide hall in the centre of the house of fourteen rooms. New barn with stable of twelve stalls. Carriage house and other usual outbuildings, and it has a magnificent Beach and Fishing shore.

The above farm was owned by the late Thomas W. Skirven and at present is tenanted by Samuel Loller, who will take pleasure in showing the premises to any intending purchaser.

TERMS OF SALE:

One-fourth of purchase money cash on the day of sale; the residue in three equal installments payable in one, two and three years from day of sale. All unpaid purchase money to bear interest from day of sale and to be secured to the satisfaction of the attorney of the undersigned. All title papers at cost of purchaser, Or these terms may be modified to suit any responsible bidder. The wheat crop is reserved but all other crops go with the farm.

MRS. THOMAS W. SKIRVEN, Owner.
HOPE H. BARROLL, attorney for owner.
CHAS. H. HURLOCK, Auct.

THE WILMINGTON BUSINESS SCHOOL

STANDS FOR

All that is BEST in Business Education.

Hundreds of young people have profited by its instruction and are holding positions by virtue of the thorough training they have received.

And all this in four years.

Not One Graduate Has Failed.

We offer an ideal Business Training Course. Our Shorthand Course makes expert stenographers. The W. B. S. leads today, not for what it has been, but for what it is.

Individual instruction.
Proprietor teachers.
Students enter at any time.
Catalogue for the asking.

W. H. BEACOM, Principal,
1 East 8th St. Wilmington, Del.

UNDERWEAR,

The kind that fits—25 and 50c.

At LUCAS'.



GET

in the swim, and come to headquarters for

YOUR SPRING SUIT.

It's much easier and more profitable to move with ebb tide where things are moving out. There's plenty life, merchandise, etc., so much more so, than to butt up against flood tide, up stream, or slack water where things are at a standstlll. Merchandise is cheaper where volume of trade predominates.

WE ARE

headquarters for Men's, Boys' and Children's CLOTHING, HATS, NECKWEAR and FURNISHINGS of all description.

WHY SO?

Because our output is larger. Come early while assortment is complete.

Respectfully,

Moody & Lusby,

Directly Opposite Court House Chestertown, Md

Your Footwear.

The door is open and we have Footwear that will please the most fastidious. From the smart high grade W. L. Douglas and All-America Shoe, down the line to the durable but less dressy Shoes, at a lower price. Then, Boys and Girls Shoes are a strong point at this store. They are in shape like men's Shoes, and in shiny and hard wear leather.

EVERYTHING THAT IS BEST IN SHOES.

All our Tan and White Shoes and Oxfords this spring are strictly new. We have a large line of these Shoes to select from.

The largest stock of any store on the Eastern Shore of Maryland. No auction goods sold from this store.

Buy your Shoes from the Shoe Man, under Stam's Hall. All styles and widths.

If you wish to be strictly in it this summer, you will have to have a pair of White and Tan Shoes or Oxfords. Eight styles in White and twenty in Russets.

T. G. WROTH,

One price to All.

THE SHOE MAN. UNDER STAM'S HALL.

Shoes, Shoes, Shoes.

Children's Shoes a Specialty.

Infant's Brown Vici Kid..... $1 to $1.50
Infant's White and Black..... 75c to 1.50
Also Children's Oxfords in Black, White and Brown, all sizes, from..... 50c to $1.50
Children's Shoes, solid leather, guaranteed, per pair..... 50c

Free! Free! Free!

TO-DAY ONLY.

One pair Children's 15c. Hose with each and every pair Children's Shoes.

Cash Coupons given on all purchases.

Eggs wanted.

Yours for Business,

BRADFORD PIERCE,

"CASH SHOE STORE," GALENA, MD.

©Maryland State Archives msa_sc3326_scm8214-0176