0469

1882 September [redacted] Galloway C. Morris incoming letters 1994

Effingham B. Morris,
Attorney at Law.
No. 404 Locust St. Philadelphia. Sept 8th 1882

My dear Uncle Gall Yours of the 6th recd. I have written the substance of it to Carey & requested an itemized statement from the executors directly and officially instead of through Father & me of the item charged of some $600 as "paid for you" You say "their accounts were full of mis-statements such as "amount paid for him" and "cash paid him" &c &c. which had never been done." I think Father wrote you the explanation he received of the first of these that the payments were each one's share of keeping up Madison Ave, Walbrook &c. for some months after Uncle Galloway's death. The Auditors account does not contain this explanation and you are no doubt entitled to receive one from the executors. Your letter however to the executors merely asked for an explanation as an incidental remark to the statement that the payments of the sum stated had not been made and that you had never received $96 stated as paid you. In reply to this Carey has written me & Westing has written to Father asking us to make the explanation as they were too deeply pained by the inference in your letter that they had made an improper use of the money, to reply! The $96 you will remember I wrote you was ready for payment ~~then~~ now & had been used in the reduction of the amount owed by you. It is very difficult to write an explanation of accounts & so far as Father's figures differing from that stated by the account are concerned, you remember his letter stated they might not be exact because quoted from memory, as we have not got a copy of the Auditors account here. Your indebtedness simply & purely is this, as it seems to me: if you had paid $300. for the horses you would not owe them anything; they paid it for you, hence the balance to be divided now leaves you still in their debt. You are of course entitled to no explanatory account however but I think your letter to the executors was rather a denial of the correctness of the Auditors account than a request for an explanation.

Yours Respectfully
E. B. Morris.