

Page 21

Cried and spit a good deal of bad looking
 spit. I gave him some medicine to take
 inwardly and the salve to rub on his
 arm. He seemed to get well. I have
 seen him occasionally since then, but could
 not say how frequent. He got the medicine
 for the arm renewed, making known that he
 came to my office for treatment. That is all
 the treatment I have given him. He was
 still in a sling at the second time he
 came to my office for treatment, which
 was ten or fifteen days after the first
 treatment. I never saw him again. His
 arm in a sling after the treatment
 I have named. That is all the treatment
 I have rendered him for any disease. I
 have no knowledge of the claimant's sore
 that appeared from the time I
 gave treatment for the arm. I have since
 met him and he told me he was
 better. I cannot say whether the use of the
 arm has been impaired or not by the
 injury for which I gave treatment, and
 the time he consulted and I prescribed
 for him. I signed an affidavit dated
 Oct. 18, 1887 and bearing my signature
 the statement in my affidavit
 that I had treated him for a year
 is a mistake and what I have
 told you is correct. I made that
 affidavit myself, but do not
 know how I came to say that I
 had treated him for a year, when
 the statement was not correct.
 He had no use of his arm at the time
 I wrote that affidavit, as he stated
 and I meant to say the use of the
 arm was then impaired, and not
 that it had been so effected from