

work he again injured his back. Clut. can furnish no testimony as to his second injury.

The persons he remembers as being there are all dead as he says.

I found no positive testimony covering his last injury in service.

Comrades John Bennett and probably Riley of the list are supposed to have been in prison with him & may know if Clut. statements are true.

The testimony also shows that Clut. has suffered from pains of back more or less since his discharge & in my opinion continuance is proven if origin can be established.

5-
The claim is in my opinion of merit, but origin and line of duty is not clearly shown in the last injury of his back, which may have been the cause of his present back trouble.

I recommend further examination as to origin and line of duty at Churchhill,