

BRIEF FOR REOPENING.

Claimant *Peter Mitchell*  
P. O. *115 West York Street.*  
County *Baltimore*  
State *Maryland*  
Attorney *P. J. Lockwood & Co.*

Soldier *Peter Mitchell.*  
Rank *First Sergeant.*  
Company *H.*  
Regiment *9 U.S.C. Vol. Inf.*  
P. O. *Washington, D.C.*

Claim under act of *June 27, 1890* filed *Jan. 7, 1898, Feb. 6, 1896, and June 9, 1900*; rejected *Apr. 1, 1897, and May 9, 1901*  
on the ground *No ratable disability shown. And former rejection of claim for renewal advised to (May 9, 1901) under act March 6, 1896. April 5, 1911. MSK.*

Evidence indicated below, filed since above rejection, is \_\_\_\_\_ deemed sufficient to warrant reopening of claim

(If not sufficient give reasons here.)

\_\_\_\_\_, 191\_\_\_\_  
Examiner.

Respectfully referred to the Medical Referee for an opinion as to whether the evidence indicated below, considered in connection with that previously filed, warrants reopening.

\_\_\_\_\_, 191\_\_\_\_  
Chief of Division.

The evidence does *not* warrant *reopening* under act of *June 27, 1890,* and act of *March 6, 1896,*

*April 5*, 1911  
*MS Neely* Examiner.  
*H. M. King* Chief of Division.

*Barger* Medical Examiner.  
*April 6*, 1911.  
*J. H. Thomas* Medical Referee.  
*C. Whitney* Medical Referee.

EVIDENCE FILED WITH A VIEW TO REOPENING CLAIM.

*May 24*, 1911 Testimony of *New Declaration*  
*April 1*, 1911 Testimony of *John W. Dashiels; Levi Daffing.*  
\_\_\_\_\_, 191\_\_\_\_ Testimony of \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, 191\_\_\_\_ Testimony of \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, 191\_\_\_\_ Testimony of \_\_\_\_\_