

36. No. 2. Said document may have

Said History Office have been at the time the
never had a certificate of marriage to be in and the
document of marriage

other on Charles to him and not to the claimant.
the claim of the defendant developed on cross-exami-
of Port Street, and, perhaps all the testimony filed in
Barry, a mudaloom, not over-derogation of history,
dependent upon other for guidance and advice. A.L.

action of a claim of the character and, hence, is entirely
familiar with details and as an incident in the pro-
claimant is directly concerned, as of course, wholly un-

The said
and all the required formalities are properly observed.
Notice was duly served on the claimant
legal witnesses.

for special examination to determine the question of
said claim was refused

with return of papers, in the above designated claim;
Show the honor, however, to submit the following report,

Washington, D.C.
Hon. Commissioner, Patent,
O. O. Callahan, J. S. D. No. 1, Port Street, Erie Co., Ind.
Co. 4-48 Regt. 26. 46. 2nd. Inf.
Victory of John W. Brown.
Claim no. 86,579, of Lucy J. Brown
Washington, D.C., January 22nd 1912.