

January, 1887, but the claimant failed to appear.

On the 17th of May, 1887, the pensioner filed another declaration for increase of pension in which J.M.Curtis, of this city, was appointed attorney. An order for a surgical examination of the pensioner was issued under this claim. Upon the certificate of this examination, dated August 10th, 1887, the claim for increase was rejected on the 19th of September, 1887.

On the 16th of October, 1888, the pensioner filed another application for increase of pension in which J.B.Cralle, of this city, was appointed attorney. This claim is now pending and Mr. Cralle is recognized by your office as attorney therein.

From the action refusing to recognize them as attorneys of the applicant, Soule & Co. appeal.

It appears that the pensioner, under the impression that he was not entitled to anything further, abandoned the claim in which Messrs. Soule & Co. were attorneys, and refused to report for surgical examination. A claim for increase subsequently made was rejected more than a year before the application in which Mr. Cralle was appointed attorney was filed, and no effort was made on the part of the attorney who was appointed in that claim to have it reopened.