

Claimant <i>Alexander Chaney</i> ✓	Soldier <i>Same</i>
P. O. <i>Chester town</i>	Rank <i>Private</i>
County <i>Kent</i>	Company <i>A</i> ✓
State <i>Maryland</i>	Regiment <i>6th M. S. Vol. Inf.</i>
Attorney _____	P. O. _____

Claim under act of July 6, 1907 filed Mar 19, 1908; rejected Mar 26, 1908
on the ground that the evidence does not show a claimant has de-
clared his inability to furnish proof that he was 70 years
of age at date of execution of said claim & he is therefore
not entitled to a rate in excess of the one he is receiving under the law.

Evidence indicated below, filed since above rejection, is not deemed sufficient to warrant reopening of claim.

(If not sufficient give reasons here.)

as affiant has no definite knowledge that
(If not sufficient give reasons here.)
deponent was 70 years of age at date of execution
of declaration.

Respectfully referred to the Medical Referee for an opinion as to whether the evidence indicated below, considered in connection with that previously filed, warrants reopening.

The evidence does _____ warrant_____

Examiner.

Medical Examiner.

Medical Reviewer.

Chief of Division.

Medical Referee.

EVIDENCE FILED WITH A VIEW TO REOPENING CLAIM.

Sep. 5, 1908 Testimony of *Thomas C. Harris*
 _____, 190____ Testimony of _____
 _____, 190____ Testimony of _____
 _____, 190____ Testimony of _____
 _____, 190____ Testimony of _____