REPRODUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

on the ankle by the limb of a tree which had been cut off by a ball. I do not know of his contracting any other disability in service.

I did not see anything of him until about a year after his discharge, he was then lame in the right ankle and it was then he told me he had received the injury in service on the march from Baldwin to Jacksonville Fla, and I had no reason to doubt this statement as he was a sound man before service, and was lame when I first saw him after service and had not injured himself after he came out of the army from about 1867 when I first met him after discharge to his death, he lived most of the time in this immediate neighborhood, most of the time in this village Limaville.

He was lame in right ankle always after discharge, which grew worse so much so that he had to use a crutch for about two years before he died.

He was ¾ disabled up to within about three years of his death - the last three years of his life he was not able to work at all because of his lame ankle

I have made two affidavits in this claim

Q You have heard these affidavits read how do you explain discrepancies between said statements, and what you now say?

A The first affidavit is about correct. The second is not. I told

63