

his Father. Mr Chew declared this to be his settled intention - that justice required, that the Issue should stand in the place of the Parent, & his own Father had acted on that principle in making his will; & he himself should certainly do the same -

W. J.

(The above on a sheet of paper, in Mr. Tilghman's hand writing)

(Endorsement)

Protest of W. Chew Jr against Memorandum by Wm. Tilghman dated 10 May 1818

I peremptorily protest against, and utterly disclaim the within memorandum dated 10th May 1818, made by Mr Tilghman, in all its bearings and implications

Because He had no right to make this or any other endorsement with a view to affect an instrument to which I was and am a party.

Because - It was made without my knowledge.

Because - It was made without my assent or consent direct or implied.

Because It was made without the knowledge, assent or consent, direct or implied of my Father who furnished the money consideration of the original agreement

Because It puts constructions, and makes positive declarations, which neither of the parties to an instrument has a right to do.

Because Those constructions and declarations are in direct contradiction to the interpretation I had then, and have invariably, put on the within written instrument dated 10th July 1816 -, as well as on the agreements which had previously been, and were then, made between my Father Mr Tilghman and myself, which interpretations I had explicitly declared to Mr Tilghman several months previously to the date of his memorandum, when I also declared to him that, in case my wife's (then my son's) estate should or could be considered as discharged or not bound by the agreements, I held that he, Mr Tilghman, was bound himself to fulfill them.

Because There is an insinuation that the Bond mentioned in the Memo