his kinsman & friend should be joined with B. Chew Jun.
I think the precautionary views of the Chief Justice cannot
be mistaken in this. So long as the large Estate could
to the benefit of his grandson, he was willing to con-
-sider the care of it to his father, but if the son should
die he was unwilling to confide the management of
the property which in that event would revert to his col-
-lateral relations to B. Chew Jun. singly, but chose to
guard their interests by interposing his friend & kinsman
Edward Ball. It is therefore manifest that the
Testator never intended that Benjamin Chew Jun.
should be interested singly with the management of
property vested in his collateral Relations, & therefore
that B. Chew Jun. has no claim to Administra-
-tion in Maryland but that it devolves upon the
next of kin

Wm. Carmichael
Jan 2nd 1828.

costs $20.00

Case stated with the wills of the late Chief
Justice Tilghman

The late Chief Justice Tilghman executed his last
will and Testament on the 18th of October 1819 in Phila-
-delphia where he then resided & continued to reside till
his death. After sundry legacies to several of his col-
-lateral relations he devised the residue of his Estate to
his grandson William Tilghman Chew, who died soon
afterwards, in the lifetime of the Testator. The late
Chief Justice died in Philadelphia in the Summer
1827 the place of his residence for the last thirty years.
The Chief Justice at the time of his death possessed
Estate in Maryland upon which there was a num
-ber of negro's stock & other articles of personal prope
-rty at the time of his death, which were not devised
over upon the event of his grandsons death. Benja
-min Chew Jun & Edward Ball have taken letters
Testamentary upon the personal Estate in Pennsylvania
-nia under the appointment in the will. Mr Ball
has relinquished his claim to letters in Maryland & B. Chew
Jun has applied to the Orphans Court of Queen Anns
County for letters Testamentary