

add that it has afforded me great gratification that after a full knowledge of all the facts which you now have of the sub-
ject of your brother Pryn Lee that you are not only able to patien-
tly but in a great measure justify the course of his proceedings in
this matter - Like all us in some measure he may have misjudged
but his integrity cannot be questioned - I exceedingly regret to learn
however that his engagements are such as to pry upon his mind
for he must need its full energy to extricate himself, and
which I sincerely hope he will speedily do -

The failure of the Dft. for \$2200.00. has occasioned much dis-
appointment to the claimants who had been led to expect full pay-
ment by the first of March. pro. Had that sum however
come to hand instead of leaving a surplus in my hands for the
use of Mr. Lyman who have proved minus of \$91.27. of the
Amt of the Claims - The difference between your statement & mine
arises from the different modes of calculating ^{as established} interest in this
State, from that usually pursued by Merchants - Hence the com-
mon practice, sanctioned by the Courts, is, to calculate interest to
date of payt., and if the payments exceeds the interest, deduct the
Amt of payments and calculate interest on Bal. Whereas you
have adopted the Merchants ^{mode} of calculating interest as payments
as well as debts - The aggregated Amt of Claims calculated
to 1st March is of \$6091.27. and deducting therefore the fund
to be in hand at that time (\$3800.00) leaves a Bal. of that
date of \$2291.27 to be provided for. You will therefore
in making your arrangements for a final settlement conform thereto
to this statement - I hope your brother will make every exertion
to settle this Bal. as speedily as possible - The sum which