moustache. Having seen Mr. Ford to-day, I am certain, to the best of my knowledge, that he did not compete in any event that day.

(Signed) ALEXANDER LEITH."

"Springfield, Oct. 12, 1886.—Having seen Mr. M. Ford to-day I can say that the man called 'T. Williams' is, in my mind, an entirely different man, in this respect: He appeared to me to be shorter, and had a heavier and darker moustache. I received the entries from the said T. Williams on the 5th of July, at Hampden Park, at Springfield, Mass., and to the best of my knowledge I can say they are totally different persons.

(Signed) GEO. TAYLOR, Secretary Springfield Caledonian Club."

"Boston Caledonian Club, Boston, Mass., Oct. 13, 1886.—Geo. Goldie, Esq.—*Dear George:* You will please pardon the seeming delay in answering yours of the 9th. I have taken a good deal of pains to find out from all our members who were at the Springfield games on the 5th of of July, and they all say along with our chief and myself that Mr. M. W. Ford did not compete that day in any of the games. We all think that Mr. Ford is too much of a man and a gentleman to do such a thing. With regard to Geo. Ross, our committee on last games is of the opinion that he stole the heavy shot so that he could win that contest with the stone. I may say that the committee is going to bring the matter before the club, and put the whole matter in the papers, and he will not be allowed at the Boston games again. Dear George, will you please give Mr. Ford my kind regards, and say to him he is about to receive a vote of thanks from our Games Committee for the very able and gentlemanly manner he conducted himself the day of our games. Your obedient servant, GRANT. P. S.—I do not know Mr. Williams. W. G."

(Note at the foot of the above letter, by the witness, Prof. Goldie: "Mr. Grant is ex-Chief of the Boston C. C. I have been informed that there were thirty members of the above club at the Springfield games. Mr. Grant and the present Chief of the Boston Club were judges of the events T. Williams competed in.")

"No. 289 Smith Street, Brooklyn, Oct. 7, 1886. Mr. Goldie—*Dear Sir:* I have known Malcolm Ford by sight for two years and was present at the Springfield games on the 5th of July. I was inside the ring the whole day as well and I am positive he did not compete in either events. I was afterwards told he was there as a spectator, but I being in the ring the whole day I had no opportunity of seeing him. If he had been taking part in any of the games (which would necessarily called him inside the ring) I should have recognized him at once. I came home with Mr. Stewart, proprietor of the *Scottish-American*, 33 Rose Street, New York, and if you call on him perhaps he may be able to throw a little more light on the subject. Yours respectfully, MALCOLM MCNEILL."

At the foot of the above letter is the following: "I was one of the competitors at Springfield games, and I did not see Mr. Ford there that day at all. (Signed) WM. EUNSON, 540 Hudson Street, New York."

"No. 9 Erastus Street, Providence, R.I. Mr. Goldie—*Dear Sir:* In answer to yours of last week asking me to give you some information about Ford competing in the Caledonian games at Springfield, I hear there is a good deal of talk about it. I am sorry that I told anybody that he competed there, as I could not swear to it. George Ross asked me on the grounds if I knew who that Williams was. I said no. He said it was M. Ford, the amateur. I said I did not believe that, and went right up to him. I told Ross he was mistaken. I told him after that he was wrong. McLean was there. He said it was not Ford. I had a letter from Ross this week wanting me to swear that Ford competed in the games at Springfield on the 5th of July. I would like to do it, to get square with him the way he used me at the New York games, but I am sorry I could not, and I am sure that nobody else can, as his name was never mentioned by any person but Ross and McLean. I guess Ross is trying to get some money out of the racket, as I am sure he must be broke. I see they have been using my name in the *Police News*. I believe Ross is giving them all that talk and using my name. I don't want no more of it, as I could not convict him. I am sorry I did not answer you sooner, but I am so busy. I am working day time. My leg bothers me yet. I will have to look after it all winter. Hoping this finds you well, I remain, Your friend, TOM AITKEN."

(Note at the foot of the above by Mr. Goldie: "Tom Aitken was beaten by T. Williams at the Springfield Caledonian games.")

"Robertson's Thistle Printing Office, No. 90 Chambers Street, New York, Oct. 8, 1886.—The undersigned was present at and participated in the Caledonian games held at Springfield, Mass., on Monday, July 5, 1886. To the best of my knowledge and belief, Mr M. W. Ford, although present witnessing the games from the grand stand, did not take any part in the sports of the day. Being well acquainted with Mr. Ford for several years, and also the fact that I was in the field all day among the contestants, it is almost impossible that Mr. Ford could have taken any active part in the competitions without my having spoken to him or seen him engaged in the games. Respectfully, L. D. ROBERTSON."

"Office of the *Scottish-American Journal*, New York, Oct. 8, 1886.—Mr. George Goldie.—*Dear Sir:* Mr. Stewart cannot remember seeing Mr. Ford taking part in the games at Springfield, on July 5. The day was excessively hot, and Mr. Stewart was glad, now and again, to watch the sports from the grand stand. This was some distance from where the sports were proceeding, and it was difficult to recognize many of the athletes. Mr. Stewart thinks that you might communicate with Tom Aitken on the subject. His address is 9 Erastus Street, Providence, R. I. He could at least tell you who the athlete Williams was who competed so successfully against him. Yours truly, PETER ROSS."

"Office of the *Scotsman and Caledonian Advertiser*, New York, Oct. 30, 1886.—Thos. Clark, Jr., Pres't Brooklyn Athletic Association—*Dear Sir:* In a written statement given to Prof. Geo. Goldie in the matter of M. W. Ford, the ambiguity of my language has apparently led to a misapprehension of the meaning intended to be conveyed, which I hasten to correct.

"In stating that Mr. Ford was 'present witnessing the games from the grand stand,' I had no personal knowledge of that being a fact, having been informed of the same after I returned home to New York, and my belief of the truthfulness of this statement was founded on the supposition that my informant could have no possible object in misleading me.

"The plain inference from reading my statement to Prof. Goldie I can readily understand might be construed into the meaning that I had seen the gentleman on the grand stand, when such is not the case.

"Not having seen Mr. Ford at all at the Springfield celebration, of course, properly speaking, I am not in a position to determine whether he was present or absent. I am well convinced that there were several Caledonian athletes competing at the games on July 5th, that are much better qualified to give testimony as whether Mr. Ford appeared or competed at the Caledonian sports than I can possibly be, as on the occasion in question I was not so observant as usual as to what was transpiring either in the field or race-track. I hope my explanation may be deemed conclusive. With sincere regards,

"Your respectfully, L. D. ROBERTSON."

The witness: These letters were written for my private benefit, not for this thing; simply for myself, to satisfy myself. I never intended to use them as evidence.

Q. Mr. Goldie, you asked the Secretary of the Caledonian Club, who had charge of the list of entries at Hampden Park, whether Mr. Ford was the man who competed under the name of T. Williams? A. I did.

Q. What did he say? A. He said no. If I repeated the conversation, it would be much more satisfactory.

Q. Was Mr. Ford standing near the Secretary at that time? A. Will you allow me to make a statement of what happened?

Q. Yes. A. I went up to Springfield with Mr. Ford, thinking it would be better to take him than only take his picture, to see if he could be identified by the Secretaries, as they did not know him when I wrote them. I went into the store where Mr. Leith is engaged and told him who I was. He knew me by name; he had never seen me before; is a comparatively young man in this country. I said I came up to see about Mr. Ford's amateur standing, and what he knew about it. He said, "I think Mr. Ford competed as T. Williams in our games." I said, "Do you know Mr. Ford?" He said "No." "I said, "Who told you?" He mentioned a certain gentleman's name, which it is not necessary to mention now. Mr. Ford came in after me by pre-arrangement. I said, "Do you know that gentleman there?" He says, "I don't know him: a customer, I suppose,"——something to that effect. I said, "Don't you know him?" He said, "No, I don't know him." I said, "Are you sure?" He said, "I don't know him, certainly." I said, "Didn't that man compete at your games?" He looked at him quite a long time, and he said, "No, he did not." I said, "Are you sure?" He said, "Yes." I called Mr. Ford over and I said, "Let me introduce Malcolm W. Ford." He said, "This is not T. Williams." I said, "Are you willing to give me a letter to that effect?" I didn't want it for evidence here. I wanted it for evidence for our club. He then gave me a letter to that effect.

Mr. Meeker; I would state, gentlemen, that the New York Athletic Club has gone into a most exhaustive and searching investigation of these charges against Mr. Ford, and they find no evidence against his standing as an amateur athlete.

The witness: The gist of my letters was, generally, "Did Mr. Malcolm W. Ford compete at your games at Springfield on the 5th day of July, or did he not?" That was the gist of all my letters; nothing further than that.

Q. Mr. Goldie, you have been a member of the Caledonian Club for a good many years? A. I have.

Q. You have competed in their games? A. For about 16 years, I think.

Q. Do you know that they have a rule in the Caledonian Club that no man can compete under an assumed name? A. Yes, sir.

Q. That his entry would be thrown out? A. Yes, sir; it was enforced that day. Mr. Maxwell, of Boston, entered as Woodside, and was disqualified.

Q. If Mr. Ford had entered under an assumed name they would have disqualified him? A. I suppose they would.

Cross-examination by Mr. Storm:

Q. Did you write a letter to Mr. McLean? A. I did.

Q. When you wrote that letter, what was your opinion of Mr. McLean, as depending upon his word? A. I could not form an opinion. I had met the man, I think, three or four different times at Caledonian games where I was a judge, and have not had anything to say to him.

Q. Is that your signature? (Producing paper.) A. That is my signature.

Q. Mr. Goldie, this letter contains these words: "Knowing you were at the games, and also knowing that I can depend on your word, did M. W. Ford compete at the Caledonian games, in Springfield or did he not?" You write that letter, Mr. G[illegible] A. I upon his word? A. I said it just as I [illegible] to anybody.

Mr. Storm reads the letter, as fo[illegible]

"New York Athletic Club [illegible] McLean: There is an effort [illegible] amateur athletic ranks. [illegible] field Caledonian games o[illegible] men who competed at these [illegible] them in any shape or form [illegible] Knowing you were at th[illegible] your word, did M. W. Fo[illegible] field or did he not? F[illegible] beginning of Septem[illegible] was a competitor a[illegible] whole matter is th[illegible] Like all good me[illegible]

"I will cons[illegible]

"Who is T. [illegible] same game[illegible]

Q. What [illegible] that Mr. [illegible] stateme[illegible]

been seen by certain persons in Springfield. The day before he had made an affidavit.

By the Chairman:

Q. Have you that letter? A. I can send it to you.

By Mr. Storm:

Q. How many letters did you write to various athletes that were at those games? A. Those were all.

Mr. Meeker: In order that the gentlemen may not be prejudiced by this inquiry, Mr. Goldie was requested by the New York Athletic Club to investigate this matter. Therefore, he wrote these letters.

Q. About how many? A. All the answers are there except McLean's.

Q. You do not produce McLean's answer? A. Certainly not, because I considered that the evidence I had there was so much stronger in favor of Mr. Ford, that it was not worth considering.

Q. Did you present to the club McLean's letter? A. Yes.

Q. You went up to Springfield with Mr. Ford at the request, I suppose, of your club? A. I did.

Q. Did you go round to the various hotels in Springfield? A. Not to all the hotels. I only went to one, outside the one I was stopping at—the American House.

Q. How did you come to go to the American House? A. I think it was in the *Sporting World* that Mr Geo. Ross had registered Mr. Ford's name as T. Williams. I went to find out if such was the case. I went there and found such entries cut out of the book.

Q. What did you find? A. I found that the proprietor told me that he didn't know they were cut out at all, and that one man representing himself as a sporting editor, and another representing himself as a detective, came up for that purpose, but the proprietor of the hotel didn't know that they were cut out. He told me before Mr. Ford. We looked at the old register and found those cut out.

By the chairman:

Q. As I understand, you will send us that letter? A. Yes.

By Mr. Meeker:

Q. About this cutting out of the register; did the hotel proprietor know anything about it? A. He said not.

Mr. Meeker: Mr. Johnson I guess cut that out. There is no evidence who cut it out. Mr. Johnson says it was cut out without the knowledge of the proprietor.

Mr. Storm: He didn't say that.

By Mr. Meeker:

Q. You saw this Mr. Leith there, the Secretary? A. Saw Mr. Leith; I have forgotten the other names.

Q. You wrote to Mr. McLean? A. Yes.

Q. He said Mr. Ford was there? A. Yes; he said he was there.

Q. You never saw him before? A. Yes; I have acted as judge in three or four games, and acted only as judge. I thought he was a pretty smart fellow.

By Mr. Storm:

Q. I want to ask you just one more question; then I am through. At those Caledonian games there are a great many competitors, are there not? A. Not a great many. There is not an average at any of the games over six or seven.

Q. Total entries? A. The total number would probably be covered by twenty.

Q. Suppose, Mr. Goldie, you acting as Secretary, and having a great deal of work to do, a man comes to you and enters whom you never saw before. He is in an athletic costume, or he is in a rough costume—

Objected to as a hypothetical question, for the reason that, if Mr. Ford entered, he did not enter in an athletic costume.

Q. Suppose he entered in a rough suit or else in an athletic costume; you never saw the man before; you don't see him again for two or three months; do you think it would be possible for a man to meet the same man dressed in citizen's clothes, well-dressed and looking in all respects like a gentleman; do you think it would be possible for a man who had only seen him once, and not again for three months, to swear positively one way or the other whether that is the same man or not? A. Let me say that Mr. Leith does not swear that at all. He simply says to the best of his belief. I don't want to clear Mr. Ford if he is guilty, or have him convicted if he is not.

By Mr. Sullivan:

Q. You said that it is the law of the Scottish Associations that a man is not allowed to compete under an assumed name. In the case of T. Williams, suppose he goes there and competes; how are they going to find out that this man is running under an assumed name? A. If known. There was a case that day. Mr. Aitken knew Mr. Ford, and he knew the rule.

Q. If a gentleman should go there and no one know him, what is to stop him from running? A. Nothing.

By Mr. Storm:

Q. In your conversations with the men of Springfield, or the State of Massachusetts, they all said that they did not believe this was Mr. Ford? A. Yes.

Q. None of them apparently were willing to swear that T. Williams was not Malcolm W. Ford? A. It is not necessary for them to swear. They are honest men. I did not ask them to swear. I said, "Will you give me a letter to that effect?" I said, "I don't want this for evidence, further than for our club. Our club wants to be satisfied as to Mr. Ford's amateur standing. We don't want to protect Mr. Ford if he is not an amateur, and we want to settle the matter if he is one."

Q. Are you acquainted with many of the men belonging to the Boston Caledonian Club? A. A good many.

Q. Do you know John McIntyre? A. No, sir; not personally.

Q. By reputation? A. No, sir.

Q. William Dobson? A. No.

Q. Or Ronald McLeod? A. No.

Mr. Meeker asks the object of the evidence.

Mr. Storm: I would never have produced this, because I knew you would object to it, but now that your letters have been admitted in evidence I offer this. (Producing letter signed by the gentlemen just named.)

Q. Do you know Stephen McNeil or Malcolm Ferguson? A. No.

Q. Or William Grant? A. There is a letter from him.

Q. Of the Caledonian Club? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Alexander McKenzie? A. No.

Q. Philip Johnston? A. No.

By Mr. Meeker:

Q. You have been asked here by counsel whether you would be able to recognize a man whom you saw but once, making his entry and going away in his running clothes? A. The Secretary records the names, and while the jumping is going on he would record his height, and especially when the jumping was going on he would have a splendid chance of seeing the man; and seeing such a man as Ford is, I would recollect him a year afterwards, I think.

MALCOLM W. FORD recalled.

By Mr. Meeker:

Q. Mr Ford, did you go to Springfield with Mr. Goldie? A. I did.

Q. In October? A. Yes.

Q. Did you go there for the purpose of identification, seeing if people could identify you? A. I did.

Q. Your object was to investigate these charges and see if they knew you? A. Yes.

Q. Did you hear what happened between Mr. Leith and Mr. Goldie? Did you hear what Mr. Leith said? A. Yes.

Q. Did you see him and speak with him? A. I did.

Q. Did you hear what he said about your being the same man who competed as Mr. Williams? A. He said nothing about my being the same man.

Q. Did he say you were not the same man? A. He did.

By Mr. Storm:

Q. Did he positively say that you were not the same man, or did he simply say that he did not believe you were the same man? A. This is the description he gave.

Q. Answer the question. A. Both the Secretaries told me that I was not T. Williams, that they never should think of me as being T. Williams; never connect the two men together.

By Mr. Meeker:

Q. And the description Mr. Leith gave of Williams—we want to know where Williams is? A. I was very much interested in who Williams was, and I asked them if they could remember what sort of a looking fellow he was He said yes; in fact, both Secretaries told about the same story, that Williams was rather a medium-sized fellow, not so tall as I am, with a black moustache and looked very groggy—as one said, very dirty and very groggy—and when he came in and entered himself for the high jump, he thought that he was a fine-looking specimen to go into a high jump.

By Mr. Sullivan:

Q. Is that your description of the man T. Williams, that won the money in the Springfield games? A. I did not see the two events that he is supposed to have taken part in. I left before the two events came off.

By Mr. Meeker:

Q. Did you leave before the two games? A. I did.

Q. Do you mean to swear that you were not in Springfield when they took place? A. I was not inside of the grounds.

Q. You were outside of Hampden Park? A. I don't know whether the bank of the river is Hampden Park, where we went in swimming. The bank is way out of the reach of the games.

Q. When the two events took place, the running high jump and the hundred-yard race, you were outside of the games? A. I was outside entirely.

Q. Will you swear to that here? A. I will.

Q. In spite of the statements of McLean and Johnson and so on? A. I will.

Q. Did you ever see McLean before you saw him on the banks, as he says, at Springfield? A. On the banks?

Q. He said he saw you on the bank, lying off on the grass. A. I have known Sam McLean for some time as a professional athlete.

Q. You saw him there, or knew he was there? A. I did.

Q. Did you see him? A. I did.

Defense rests.

E. W. JOHNSON, recalled by Mr. Storm in rebuttal, testifies as follows:

Q. Mr. Johnson, you were at professional games held at Oak Island or Oak Park in Boston, in August, or about that time? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Did you go on with Malcolm W. Ford? A. I did.

Q. While you were with Malcolm W. Ford at those games did you introduce to him Mr. McLean? A. The first man in the grounds I introduced to him.

Q. How did you introduce him to Mr. McLean? A. "Mr. McLean, I have the pleasure of introducing to you Mr. Malcolm W. Ford, the amateur all-round athlete."

Q. Did you hear what Mr. McLean said? A. "Oh, yes; that is Mr. Williams."

Q. Did Mr. Ford make any reply? A. Not in my hearing.

Q. Did Mr. Ford at that time say anything to you about that occurrence? A. Not that I can recollect at present.

Q. You have heard Mr. Ford testify that he was not introduced to Mr. McLean at that Park, at those games? A. Something to that effect; I would not swear positively that I did. I was in the back part of the room and I couldn't hear very well.

Q. He did so testify. If he did so testify that he was not introduced to Mr. McLean at those games, of your own knowledge is that statement true or false? A. It is a falsehood.

FORD: You're a liar.

Q. Mr. Johnson, are you acquainted with the writing of Tom Aitken? A. Yes.

Q. Have you known him for a long time? A. I have known him for a long while.

Q. Where does he live? A. Providence, R. I.

Q. Have you seen much writing of Mr. Aitken's? A. Quite a bit.

Q. I show you that signature on that letter, and I ask you, Mr. Johnson, after looking at that letter, is it in Mr. Aitken's writing or not, to the best of your knowledge and belief? A. To the best of my knowledge, he never wrote that letter, and that ain't his handwriting.

Cross-examination by Mr. Meeker:

Q. Mr. Johnson, are you an expert in handwriting? A. I don't reckon myself an expert in handwriting.

Q. But you would know the handwriting of a friend or acquaintance, especially Mr. Aitken's? A. I know his handwriting.

Q. Have you seen any letters from him? A. I have.

Q. Have you seen him since these charges were preferred? A. I have not.

Q. Never communicated with him. A. I did.

Q. You think that is not his letter? A. To the best of my knowledge, that is not. I won't swear positively.

Q. You won't swear it is. You are in doubt? A. I am in doubt.

By Mr. Storm:

Q. You were asked if you had communicated with Mr. Aitken? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Did you receive a letter from Mr. Aitken in reply? A. I received no reply from Mr. Aitken.

By Mr. Meeker:

Q. Did you say, on your direct-examination, that you did not have any trouble with Mr. Ford? A. No, not what I would call trouble. We had some talk about a watch that he presented me and afterwards took away from me.

Q. You had some trouble about a watch? A. Yes, sir; not that I call trouble.

Q. No difference of opinion? A. We had a difference of opinion, if that is trouble.

Mr. Storm offers in evidence the following letter:

"Boston Caledonian Club, Boston, Mass., Oct. 22, 1886.—We, the undersigned members of the Boston Caledonia Club, were present at the Caledonia games held in Springfield, July 5, 1886, and recognize M. W. Ford, who acted as assistant judge at our games, as T. Williams, of Baltimore, who competed in the professional games at Springfield on the above date, winning the running high jump, and came in second in the 100 yards. (Signed) John McIntyre, S. D. McLean, William Dobson, Ronald McLeod, Stephen McNeil, Malcolm Ferguson, William Grant, Alex. McKenzie, Philip Johnston."

Mr. Keating not appearing upon his name being called, Mr. Storm offers in evidence the following affidavit:

"I, Edward J. Keating, being duly sworn do on oath depose and say: I reside in Springfield, Hampden Co., Mass. I am a professional athlete. On July 5th, A D. 1886, I was present at the professional contests and games on Hampden Park in said Springfield, and was a competitor in said games and contests. A person was also a competitor in said games and contests under the name of T. Williams, at which time said Williams took the first prize in the running high jump and the fourth in the hop, step, and jump, and was beaten by T. Aitken and myself (E. J. Keating) and Stephen Farrell, of Rockwell, Conn. I have this day been shown a picture of Malcolm W. Ford, which picture I attach hereto and I am positive that said picture is a picture of the person who competed at said time as T. Williams, and I have no hesitation whatever in stating that they were the same person, and that the person who at that time represented himself to be T. Williams was said Malcolm W. Ford. EDWARD J. KEATING.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts, County of Hampden, ss.: Sworn to and subscribed before me this eighth day of October, 1886.

(Seal) ALLEN WEBSTER, Notary Public."

Also the following affidavit: "I, James M. Bliss, being duly sworn, do on oath depose and say: I reside in Springfield, Hampden County, Mass. I was present on Hampden Park in said Springfield on July 5th, 1886, and saw the professional contests and games. I have this day been shown a picture of Malcolm W. Ford, it being the same picture attached to the affidavit of Edward J. Keating made this day, and to the best of my knowledge and belief the person of whom the said picture is a representation was a competitor in said games and contests, and that he, under the name of T. Williams, took the first prize in the running high jump. I have no doubt in my mind that said picture is a picture of said person acting under the name of Williams. JAMES M. BLISS.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts, County of Hampden, ss: Sworn to and subscribed before me this eighth day of October, A.D., 1886.

[SEAL.] ALLEN WEBSTER, Notary Public.

MALCOLM W. FORD recalled by Mr. Meeker:

Q. Did you ever have any trouble with Mr. Johnson? A. Yes, sir.

Q. Over a watch, or anything else? A. Yes.

Q. Had a quarrel? A. Yes.

Q. Did you speak to him subsequently? A. Yes.

Q. Did you recognize him as a friend after that? A. No.

Q. Never were on good terms? A. No.

Q. What was it about this watch? A. It was not the watch so much It was something else.

Q. What was it? A. It was when he gave his benefit. Just to show my appreciation of the man I said I would furnish the prizes for the half mile run, and I went to the expense of $28 for a gold medal, a very handsome medal, the handsomest prize given on the grounds, and he knowingly allowed a man named S. J. Farrell, a professional athlete, to come down and win it, and debarring deserving amateurs from winning. He didn't give it for a professional athlete; I gave it for an amateur contest.

Q. He had a ringer there? A. Yes, and he allowed it to go to this professional. That is the reason I am so down on him, and that is the reason he is down on me.

By Mr. Smith:

Q. How do you spell Baltimore? A. B-a-l-t-i-m-o-r-e.

Mr. Smith: You will notice that Baltimore is spelled "B-a-l-t-o-m-o-r-e" on the register.

E. W. JOHNSON recalled by Mr. Storm:

Q. You have testified that you saw those entries cut from the register at that hotel? A. I swear positively that I saw the entries cut from the register.

Q. Who cut those entries? A. It was the clerk of the hotel.

Q. The man who had charge of the hotel at that time? A. The man who had charge of the office at that time. He got them from the hotel register and handed them to Mr. Wheeler, a detective of Springfield. I will swear positively to those facts.

Q. And those were not cut by any one except the man who was in charge of the office at that time? A. No, sir.

Q. A hotel clerk? A. I don't know whether he was a clerk or some boy from the street.

Q. Do you know this man Farrell? A. I do now.

Q. Did you know him before? A. Never knew him before he competed at my benefit.

Q. What name did he compete under? A. Evans.

Q Did Mr. Ford say anything to you about this man Farrell after the games? A. No, sir; not a word to my face, but I believe he accused me before some of the members. He never has personally said anything to me about it.

By Mr. Smith:

Q. Did he before the games? A. No, sir.

By Mr. Meeker:

Q. Did Mr. Ford have anything to say to you since? A. Not much.

MALCOLM W. FORD recalled:

By Mr. Meeker:

Q. Do you know anything about this hotel register at Springfield? A. Yes.

Q Did you see the clerk at Springfield? A. I did.

Q. Did you ask him anything about cutting out the slips that Mr. Johnson speaks of? A. I didn't ask him about it. When Mr. Goldie and I went there to see what this registering was all about, reading the accounts in the newspapers, we went there and found a slip cut out of this date. We at once supposed it had been cut out purposely, for the testimony conflicted. Ross had sworn that he registered the names of T. Williams and P. O'Donald. He had said so, and as he knew he was not in company with T. Williams, he could not produce his name opposite T. Williams, or alongside. When we called the attention of the hotel clerk we happened to see the proprietor, and he was very angry at having it cut out. The clerk confessed that several men had been up there before, and they had cut it out without his knowing it.

Q. Did the clerk tell you, or tell the proprietor in your presence, that he cut it out? A. The clerk denied that he cut it out.

By the chairman:

Q. You did go up there, but you did not compete in those games, and you did not go to the hotel and register? If that is the case, why did you go to the hotel to see if that was cut out? A. We didn't go to see if it was cut out. We had seen this in the papers that he had registered, and that Ross had registered at a certain hotel. We went to see if it was so.

By Mr. Storm:

Q. Have you ever admitted to anyone at all that you registered at Springfield on any one of those three days under an assumed name? A. Never.

Q. You never registered at all in any hotel on any of those three days? A. I did not register this year at Springfield during those three days, and never told anyone that I did.

FRANK M. PRICE, called as a witness on behalf of the prosecution, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:

By Mr. Storm:

Q. Where do you reside, Mr. Price? A. Brooklyn.

Q. Are you a member of the Brooklyn Athletic Association? A. I am.

Q. Are you a member of the Governing Committee or Board of Directors? A. I am.

Q. What position do you occupy in that club? A. Secretary.

Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Ford say in your presence that he, at Springfield, on the 4th, 5th, or 6th days of July, registered at a hotel under an assumed name? A. I did not.

Q. Did you ever hear him say that he registered in his own name? A. I did not.

Q. Did you ever hear him say that any one whom he was with registered there? A. I heard a story from Mr. Ford in regard to stopping at a hotel.

Q. Did Mr. Ford tell this story himself? A. He did.

Q. Were you present when it was told? A. Yes, sir.

Q. And you heard it? A. Yes. It was immediately after the Springfield games. We were preparing for our July 10th games on our grounds. There was a meeting of the Games Committee, composed of Mr. Ford as Chairman, myself as Secretary, Mr. F. A. Gilmore and Mr. A. Peverelly, and they were all present. Previous to that Mr. Ford had telegraphed to the President of the Club, the day before, that he could not get home from Springfield until the next day, and I opened the telegram, and when Mr. Ford came back Mr. Peverelly, and myself and Mr. Gilmore were chaffing him, asking how much he had won, never suspecting at the time he had been at Springfield for any such purpose, and he answered us in a laughing way, and told a story of being in a hotel with Ross.

Q. Mr. Ford told the story himself? A. I heard Mr. Ford tell the story.

Q Do you think he was joking? A. I don't know, of course, whether he was joking or not. We had all been joking.

Q Mr. Ford said he slept there. He didn't say anything about registering? A. No