

Lloyd Kilgman
My dear Sir

Mr W.B. Kilgman's attachment case against Mrs
and Lichen & Hymon - garnishees of Mrs Etta Emmett stands on the
trial docket Nov. at the trial term - in which according to your
promise & Mrs Kilgman's desire - I expect to have you and indeed
my name as opposed in the case - as you were not here at
the time - The trustees admit assets to about \$900, an audit was
stated before the attachment was issued, but not ratified
until after hands - Mrs Kilgman's attachment is a judgment and
the same day & moment that her writ in the Sheriff's hands
an attachment or warrant also of Geo S Williams went into
the Sheriff's hands - Mrs Etta disputes the debt and expects
to prove the raspberry plants were absolutely worthless though
represented as healthy and sound - She expects to prove
this by three witnesses - I enclose a copy of the docket
entries in the two attachments - also of the garnishees pleas
the same in each case - I suppose the audit being
ratified & confirmed - serves our purposes well as if it