

a trustee should be appointed but
have appointed the trustee. We
must remember that we are not
interpreting the will of an ordinary
man, but of a skilled lawyer, a man
who knew, full well, the value of
every testamentary expression, and
consequently was not likely to leave
anything to construction, where it
was so easy to make his meaning
plain. In this view is it not the
strongest possible argument against
the appointment of any trustee
at all that, in revising and revoking
that part of his will in which
special provision is made for the
appointment of a trustee he omits
to make any mention of one or of se-
curity. We contend that the construc-
-tion which the Petitioners desire to
place upon her grand-father's
will is an unfair one. That it would
be unjust so to burden the Respon-
dent's enjoyment of her portion of
his estate in the absence of an ex-
press direction on his part to that
effect. That Judge Chambers did
not intend to restrict the Respond-
-ent in the enjoyment of that part