

this codicil to his will, it is plain-ly impossible for Judge Chambers to have written a single line of it - without considering the propriety of appointing a trustee or requiring security, as asked for by the Petitioners. That under the circumstances he is silent with regard to it is, to our mind, the clearest possible proof - that he never intended so to hamper the Respondent. He was fully aware of the nature of the property of - which he was disposing, and the possibility of its waste or destruction by the intervening tenants, for, in the very beginning of his will, he had directed all his property to be turned into personalty. No one knew better than he that even if the contingencies should happen, which were necessary to happen prior to the vesting of my interest at all in the Petitioners, there might then be no interest to vest, yet he makes no provision against such a contingency. He makes no provision for it although he could not have written a word without having before him