

Page 9. ~~sets~~ the question. in any way, but we are informed that the property is invested in different localities - The third set forths false information, and is simply trivial, and the fourth is absurdly untrue - The first reason for Mr. Perkins' appointment is because the Petitioners desire it, and may be regarded as both modest and strong - The latter part of it, involving the testator's intent has been already answered. The second reason is of no force, since whoever is trustee, will have to give bond, with good and sufficient security for the performance of the trust. The third might have some force if one of the parties to whom he is related by marriage, the only one who has any vested interest in the property, did not oppose his appointment. The very fact of his being himself contingently interested in the estate after the Respondent's death without issue puts him in direct antagonism with her. It is clearly his interest to bring about the contingencies on which depend his inter-