Sam Hyde
Omaha 2-1919

Re: Bailey Henry v. H.C. Franklin

I had an interview with the above at-
torney. Henry claims damages and held that
he was not at fault in the matter of his being
hurt. That it was a machine he was operating
belonging to the defendant company and
that he had never been shown how to
handle it properly. Henry claims that I was going
to get back from that I was going
down to find out if he had left me
did not see it matter the reason or
the truth be damned.

I told him he replied it only on the
point that the defendant company knew this
machine was in A.1. condition. I
instructed I would advise you before taking
any action.

Might be a good idea if you
look up the defendant which is the
same and have Hyde see 3311 - v 400
he would confer this information to us.
Looks like a strong case. Keep this
in mind for settlement.

Since Henry is in difficulty
this is a good time to use
the pressure.