

Would it not be dealing more generously with the Lord to declare the
 Real Objects of your not agreeing to a Supply, than the plausible pretence of the
 throyness for hoghead alledged to be necessary for the defence and safety
 of the Province, and endeavouring to make strangers believe, that the Country
 must Suffer without it? If this be so, why has that money for these last
 seven years, to go no farther back, been hoarded up at London to great use?
 And in all that time not much above the value of one year's receipt laid out
 or imported for our safety? How can it be answered for, that after so long
 an apprehension of a rupture, Application has not been made of this money?
 An actual war is broke out, and our Enemies as you say, within eight or
 ten days March on us? And when the arms now sent for are in danger of
 being taken by them, and lost or being destroyed with the Produce of our own
 industry? Not to say any thing at this time of the fourth part of what his
 Lordship has for some years taken for support of government, which by the
 Act under which it is claimed, was intended and by Express Instructions
 from the Throne in the time of the Crown Government, was actually applied
 to the same use, altho' his Lordship has never parted with one farthing of it
 that we know of for that or any other purpose that concerns the defence or
 safety of the Province.

Your Honour surely could not be in earnest when you draw
 your Consequences from the Case stated about a house of Peers, We in very
 Express terms said, that if there was any similitude between your House
 and the other (not that which now serves as a separate Branch of the British
 Parliament) but such a dependent house as was mentioned in the Case) then
 there was no foundation for the answer you gave; And you in your last Answer
 say that because you cannot be likened to the present house of Peers, therefore
 your answer is just from our own conclusion. It had been well for the sake
 of your Honour this Paragraph had been omitted, least the
 Publick should say that this Judicial answer proceeded from an impossibility
 of giving a better.

Altho' the Council in some other Plantations may be appointed
 by the King and Removable by the Governour yet we have been informed
 that, unless in some special Cases, they are incapable of holding Offices while
 they have a share in the Legislature, and with good reason we may be
 allowed to say, that there is a wide difference between the Appointment
 of the King, who can have no view but what concerns the General Welfare
 of his People, and that of a Proprietary or Governour, whose private Interests
 frequently Clash with that of the Community, nor was it the Dependency