Objection about the ~~wine~~ Trust, though in conversation
with the Intendant I first offer the whole proposal from
Mr. Russell, I agreed to add, "that Wines
Trust should not prejudice his Claim to retain
an Equivalent for his confiscated property,
but that such Claim should remain as before".
Mr Hutchinson then remarked, that there was no
Time assigned in which the State should
determine on Mr Russell's Application, and
that a Time should be limited (as two or
three Years) and if in that Period Mr State
did not determine to pay Mr Russell the Value
of his Loss, that he should keep the 1000 Stock
Mr Russell influenced by this advice made it as a
proposition to me, which I rejected with indignation
and left his House. on my observing to Mr.
Russell how inconsistent and contrary this proposal
was to the one made by Mr Molleson, at his House,
he said that Mr Molleson had mistaken him, and
that he had given no power to Mr Molleson to make
any such proposal. I informed Mr Molleson of
this Declaration, who answered that he had not