that he would not advise a Transfer of any part unless £10,000 Stock was absolutely secured to Mr. Russell; and Mr. Hutchinson was pleased to Assert —, though he knows the Act under which I was appointed, and had heard me read my Instructions, that I had a power to Compensate Mr. Russell. To remove the Objection about the New Trust, though inconsistent with the Intention and Spirit of the whole proposal from Mr. Russell, I agreed to add, "That the new Trust should not prejudice his Claim to retain an equivalent for his Confiscated property, but that such claim should remain as before". — Mr. Hutchinson then remarked, that there was no time expressed in which the State should determine on Mr. Russells application, and that a time should be limited (as two or three years) and if that Period the State did not determine to pay Mr. Russell the Value of his Est, that he should keep the £10,000 Stock. Mr. Russell influenced by this advice made it as a proposition to me, which I rejected with Indignation and left his House. —

On my Observing to Mr. Russell how inconsistent and Contrary this proposal was to the

(5)

ARCHIVES OF THE STATE OF MARYLAND.

15