that Mr. Russell had no Right to the Stock, I proposed (a fortnight ago) to Mr. Maddocks that I would make the Attorney General a Party to my Bill, and immediately try Mr. Russells Claim, if he would limit it, or agree that the Hearing on his Answer should no be considered an admission of the Value of his Property in Company or in his own Right, and if the Chancellor should Decree him (which I think impossible) to receive Compensation for it out of the Bank Stock, that he would afterwards ascertain the Value. — Mr. Maddocks the next Day informed Me that he had advised Mr. Hutchinson to accept this Offer, but I have heard nothing further on the Subject.

On the third of this Month I wrote to Mr. Pitt, and stated the Right of the State to the Stock, the Claims made to part of it by Russell and others, and the Opinion of the Lord Chancellor that the Attorney General must be a Party, and of Consequence claim the whole Stock on behalf of the Crown; I asserted and gave my Reasons that any Claim by the Crown or any of its Subjects to any part of the Stock was in Violation of the Treaty; and I expressed my wish, that this Government would consider the Case, and direct the Attorney General to disclaim any Right by the Crown to the Stock; and that some Mode might be adopted to have the other Claims withdrawn, or brought to an immediate Decision. — To this Letter I have received no Answer.

Inclosed is a Copy of my Letter to Mr. Pitt, and of Instructions to my Solicitors respecting their Management of my Suit against