eight the aforesaid Richard Ridgely and Jeremiah Townley Chase file the following agreement to wit

It is agreed by the parties that the proceedings in this case be amended by making William Randolph and James Cheston surviving partners of William Stevenson plaintiffs instead of William Randolph and others assignees of William Stevenson and that the award and Judgment thereon stand and remain on the proceedings so amended

R. Ridgely P. Pltff
J. T. Chase for Garnishee

Test Thomas B. Hodgkin Cl.

Afterwards to wit on the tenth day of February seventeen hundred and eighty nine the aforesaid Henry Griffith Garnishee as aforesaid produced the State of Maryland writ of Error commanding the Judgment aforesaid to be transmitted to the high court of Appeals which said writ of Error follows in these words to wit

Maryland ss. The State of Maryland To the honorable the Judges of the General Court Greeting, Because in the record and process and also in the rendering of Judgment on a certain action brought by a certain William Randolph and James Cheston as surviving partners of William Stevenson against Henry Griffith Senior as Garnishee of William Perkins Thomas Buchanan and William Brown for the sum of Sixteen hundred and thirty six pounds eleven shillings and nine pence half penny current money and six hundred and eighteen pounds of tobacco costs of suit at a General court held before you our said Judges in the General court for the western shore at May term Seventeen hundred and eighty seven Manifest error as it is said has happened to the great damage of the said Henry Griffith Senior as of his complaint we have received and we being willing that the errors if any there be should be duly corrected and full and speedy justice done to the said Henry Griffith senior in this behalf Command you that if Judgment be thereof rendered that then the record and process aforesaid with all things thereunto relating under your seals distinctly and openly you send and this writ so that the same may be had before the Judges of our high court of appeals at Annapolis the first Tuesday in May next that the record and process aforesaid being inspected into we may further do thereon for the correcting that error which of right and according to the laws and customs of this State may be done Witness the honorable John Rogers Esquire Chancellor this tenth day of February Anno Domini 1789.

Samuel Hy Howard Reg. Cur. Cancr.

(The Great Seal of the State in Wax Appendant)

In pursuance whereof and according to the form of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided a record of the Judgment aforesaid with all things thereunto relating is hereby transmitted to the States high court of Appeals accordingly

In testimony that the foregoing is a true copy from the record of proceedings of the General Court for the western shore of the state of Maryland I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of my office this 10th day of February Anno Domini 1789

(The Seal of the General Court)

John Quinn Cl. G. C. W. S. M.

And now here at this day to wit the first Tuesday in May being the fifth day of the same month

month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and eighty nine come into court here as well the said Henry Griffith by his attorney as the said William Randolph and James Cheston surviving partners of William Stevenson by their attorney and because the court here are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid until next Court to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the court here thereof as yet are not &c At which said next court to wit the first Tuesday in October being the sixth day of the same month Anno Domini 1789 come again the said parties plaintiff and defendants by their attornies aforesaid and the said Henry Griffith by his said attorney says that in the record and proceedings aforesaid and in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid thereon in the General court given there is manifest error in this to wit that the Judgment aforesaid in the General court aforesaid given was given for the said William Randolph and James Cheston surviving partners of William Stevenson against the said Henry Griffith when by the laws of this State Judgment ought to have been given for the said Henry Griffith against the said William Randolph and James Cheston surviving partners of William Stevenson and therefore in that it is manifest erroneous and he prays that the said Judgment for that error and others in the record and proceedings aforesaid being may be revoked annulled and held entirely void and that the said Henry Griffith may be restored to all things which he hath lost by reason of the Judgment aforesaid and that the said William Randolph and James Cheston surviving partners of William Stevenson to those errors may rejoin and so forth And the said William Randolph and James Cheston surviving partners of William Stevenson likewise say that there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and pray that the court now here may proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid above for error assigned and that the Judgment aforesaid may be in all things affirmed and because the court here are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid until next Court to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the court here thereof as yet are not &c

At which said next court to wit the second Tuesday of June being the eighth day of the same month Anno Domini 1790 come again as well the said Henry Griffith by his attorney aforesaid as the said William Randolph and James Cheston surviving partners of William Stevenson by their attorney aforesaid whereupon all and singular the premises being seen and by the court now here more fully understood and as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment on the same given as the said causes and matters by the said Henry Griffith above for error assigned being diligently examined and inspected and mature deliberation being thereon had it seems to the Court now here that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and that the said record is no wise vicious or defective Therefore it is considered by the court here that the Judgment aforesaid be in all things affirmed and remain in full force and effect the said causes and matters by the said Henry Griffith above for error assigned in any wise notwithstanding And it is further considered by the court here that the said William

Randolph