June Term 1795

At which day to wit the said second Tuesday of June being the fourteenth day of the same month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety one comes here into our High Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid William Goodwin by Luther Martin Richard Ridgely and David McMechen his Attornies as the aforesaid Daniel Dulany administrator of Thomas Bladen aforesaid by William Cooke Thomas Jennings his Attornies But because the Court here are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Judges of our High Court of Appeals here until the second Tuesday of November next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof not yet &c At which said second Tuesday of November being the eighth day of the same month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety one comes again here into our High Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid William Goodwin by his Attornies aforesaid as the aforesaid Daniel Dulany administrator of Thomas Bladen aforesaid by his Attornies aforesaid And the said William Goodwin by his Attornies aforesaid comes and doth that in the record and process aforesaid likewise in the rendering of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest Error in this, to wit, that by the record and process aforesaid it does appear that the Judgment aforesaid rendered was given for the aforesaid Daniel Dulany administrator of Thomas Bladen aforesaid against the aforesaid Richard Harwood Junior) whereas by the Law of the Land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said William Goodwin against the aforesaid Daniel Dulany administrator of Thomas Bladen aforesaid therefore in that the manifestly erred and prays that the Judgment aforesaid for those Errors and others in the record and process aforesaid being may be revoked annulled & utterly for nothing had and that the aforesaid William Goodwin to all things which by occasion of the Judgment aforesaid he hath lost may be restored, and that the said Daniel Dulany administrator aforesaid may rejoin to the said Errors and that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matter aforesaid above assigned for error and that the Judgment may be given for the said William Goodwin against the said Daniel Dulany administrator of Thomas Bladen aforesaid for his Damages sustained by reason of the errors in the record & proceedings aforementioned and so forth And the said Daniel Dulany administrator of Thomas Bladen aforesaid says that there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment of the Judgment aforesaid and prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings

June Term 1795

aforesaid as of the matter aforesaid by the said William Goodwin above assigned and that the Judgment aforesaid may be in all things affirmed and so forth But because the court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises, day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Judges of our High Court of Appeals here until the second Tuesday of June next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c At which said second Tuesday of June being the twelfth day of the same month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety two comes again here into our High Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid William Goodwin by his Attornies aforesaid as the aforesaid Daniel Dulany administrator aforesaid by his Attornies aforesaid But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Judges of our High Court of Appeals here until the second Tuesday of November next, to hear their Judgment of and upon those premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c

At which said second Tuesday of November being the thirteenth day of the same month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety two comes again here into our High Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid William Goodwin by his Attornies aforesaid as the aforesaid Daniel Dulany administrator of Thomas Bladen aforesaid by his Attornies aforesaid But because the Court are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Judges of our High Court of Appeals here until the second Tuesday of June to hear their Judgment of and upon those premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c

At which said second Tuesday of June being the Eleventh day of the same month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety three comes again here into our High Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid William Goodwin by his Attornies aforesaid as the aforesaid Daniel Dulany administrator of Thomas Bladen aforesaid by his Attornies aforesaid And the aforesaid William Goodwin by his Attornies aforesaid says that there is not a sufficient record returned in this cause but that the proceedings therein are diminished & therefore prays the writ of the State of Maryland of Diminution to be directed to the honorable the Judges of the General Court that the Judges here may more fully be certified of the Record and proceedings in this cause following to wit

The State of Maryland ss. To the honorable the Judges of the General Court Greeting Whereas we have lately by the complaint of William Goodwin understood that in the record and proceedings and also in the rendition of the Judgment of a plea which was in our court before you by our Writ between Daniel Dulany administrator of Thomas Bladen plaintiff and the said William Goodwin defendant in a plea of debt a manifest error hath happened to the great damage of him the said William Goodwin and we have caused those records and proceedings to be brought before us at present session and the said William Goodwin at hearing in our court