At which day to wit the said second Tuesday of November being the twelfth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety three comes into the High Court of Appeals as well the said John Standiford by David M^c^Mechen and Luther Martin his Attornies as the said Daniel Dulany administrator as aforesaid by William Cooke and Thomas Jennings his Attornies, And thereupon on motion of the said Daniel Dulany administrator aforesaid by his Attornies aforesaid It is ruled by the Court here that the said John Standiford assign the Errors in the Record of proceedings between the said John Standiford and the said Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid before the Court here brought for correcting those Errors in the Record and proceedings aforesaid supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court here will be entered against him in default thereof – Thereupon the said John Standiford by his Attornies aforesaid comes and prays a day of Imparlance to the Errors aforesaid for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid by him the aforesaid John Standiford to be assigned until the second Tuesday of June next and then to assign those Errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the Record and proceedings aforesaid rendered and to him it is granted, the same day is given to the said Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid also –

At which said second Tuesday of June being the tenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety four, to which said day the said John Standiford had Leave to Imparle and then to Assign those Errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the Record and proceedings aforesaid rendered supposed to be therein, comes again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the said John Standiford by his Attornies aforesaid as the aforesaid Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid by his Attornies aforesaid and the said John Standiford by his Attornies aforesaid comes and saith that in the Record and process aforesaid, And also in the giving Judgment aforesaid there is Manifest Error in this to wit, that whereby the Record aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid against the said John Standiford – Therefore in that, there is Manifest Error and he prays that the Judgment aforesaid for that Error and others being in the Record and process aforesaid may be reversed annulled and wholly holden for naught, and that he the said John Standiford may be restored to all that he has lost by Occasion of the said Judgment and that the said Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid, may rejoin to the Errors aforesaid &c – And the said Daniel Dulany Administrator

aforesaid by his Attornies aforesaid says there is no Error in the Record and proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the Record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said John Standiford above for Errors assigned, and that the said Judgment may be in all things Affirmed and so forth – But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of November next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises, because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised &c – And now at this day to wit, the said second Tuesday of November being the eleventh day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety four comes again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid John Standiford by his Attornies aforesaid as the said Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid, by his Attornies aforesaid, Whereupon as well the Record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said John Standiford above for Errors assigned being seen heard and fully understood by the Court and mature deliberation had – Thereupon for that it appears to the Court here, that there is no Error either in the Record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid – Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things Affirmed, And it is further considered that the said Daniel Dulany recover against the said John Standiford his damages Costs and charges aforesaid, so as aforesaid rendered in the aforesaid General Court as also the quantity of two thousand seven hundred and thirty six pounds of Tobacco adjudged to him on his Account according to the form of the Statute in such Case made and provided for his Costs and charges which he hath sustained by Occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by means of the prosecuting the said Writ of Error by the said John Standiford, and that he the said Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid have thereof his execution &c –

Test, Burton Wheteroft, Clerk Court of Appeals –

D. M. L. M.	David Johnson	Be it remembered that heretofore to wit, on the thirtieth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety the –
WC, Jgs –	vs	
	Daniel Dulany Admor. of Thomas Bladen. –	