High Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid David Johnson by his Attonies aforesaid as the aforesaid Daniel Dulany Administrator afore-said by his Attonies aforesaid, And the said David Johnson by his Attonies aforesaid comes and saith that in the Record and process aforesaid likewise in the rendering of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the Record and process aforesaid it does appear that the Judgment aforesaid rendered was given for the aforesaid Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid against the aforesaid David Johnson, whereas by the law of the land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said David Johnson against the aforesaid Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid, Therefore in that it is manifestly erred, and prays that the Judgment aforesaid for those Errors and others in the Record and process aforesaid being may be revoked – annulled and utterly for nothing had and that the aforesaid David Johnson to all things which by Occasion of the Judgment aforesaid he hath lost may be restored, and that the said Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid may rejoin to the said Errors and that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the Record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matter aforesaid assigned for Error and that the Judgment may be given for the said David Johnson against the said Daniel Dulany Administrator for his damages sustained by reason of the Errors in the Record and proceedings aforesaid mentioned and so forth – And the said Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid says that there is no Error in the Record and proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the Record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matter aforesaid by the said David Johnson above for Errors assigned and that the Judgment aforesaid may in all things Affirmed and so forth, But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Judges of our High Court of Appeals here until the second Tuesday of June next, to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises, Because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c. At which said second Tuesday of June being the twelfth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety two, comes again here into our High Court of Appeals as well the afore-said David Johnson by his Attonies aforesaid as the aforesaid Daniel Dulany Administrator aforesaid by his Attonies aforesaid. —

But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid day therefore is further given to the Parties aforesaid before the Judges of our High Court of Appeals here until the second Tuesday of November next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid, Because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c. At which said second Tuesday of November being the thirteenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety two comes again here into our High Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid David Johnson by his Attonies aforesaid as the aforesaid Daniel Dulany Administrator afore-said by his Attonies aforesaid, But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day there-fore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Judges of our High Court of Appeals here until the second Tuesday of June next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c. At which said second Tuesday of June being the eleventh day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety three, comes again into our High Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid David Johnson by his Attonies aforesaid as the aforesaid Daniel Dulany by his Attonies afore-said, And the David Johnson by his Attonies aforesaid suggests to the Court here that there is not a sufficient Record returned in this Cause but that the proceedings therein are diminished and therefore prays the Writ of the State of Maryland of Diminution to be directed to the Honorable the Judges of the General Court that the Judges here may more fully be certified of the Record and proceedings in this Cause and to him it is granted, which accordingly issued in the words and figures following to wit. —

The State of Maryland &c. To the Honorable the Judges of the General Court Greeting. Whereas we have lately by the complaint of David Johnson understood that in the Record and proceedings and also in the rendition of the Judgment of a plea which was in our Court before you by our Writ between Daniel Dulany Administrator of Thomas Bladen Plaintiff and the said David Johnson Defendant in a plea of debt, a manifest error hath happened to the great damage of him the said David Johnson and we have caused those Record and proceedings to be brought before us to correct such Error and the said David Johnson appearing in our Court before us hath said that the Record aforesaid before us sent is diminished and the same not yet fully certified to us, and the same David Johnson hath

Seal