James Stewett Defendant in a plea of debt a Manifest error hath happened to the great damage of him the said James Stewett and we have caused those Record and proceedings to be brought before us to correct such Error and the said James Stewett appearing in our Court before us hath said that the Record aforesaid before us sent is diminished and the same not yet fully certified to us and the same James Sterrett hath prayed our Writ to be directed to you to certify more fully the truth thereof and it was granted him, Therefore we command you that you search the Records remaining in your Custody and if it be so, then the Record of the Proceedings aforesaid between the parties aforesaid in the plea aforesaid undiminished to us without delay you certify together with this Writ, Witness the Honorable Benjamin Rumsey Esquire Chief Judge of our High Court of Appeals this 11th day of June Anno Domini 1793 Issued 29th July 1793 - (L Martin) T. D. Morrick Cl. Ct. App -

In pursuance whereof and according to the form of the Act of Assembly in such Case made and provided, I hereby Certify to the Honorable the Judges of the High Court of Appeals; that I have duly examined the Record and proceedings of the General Court between the parties aforesaid, as by the aforegoing Writ it was commanded. -

In Testimony that the aforegoing is a true Copy from the Record of proceedings of the same Court, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Seal of my Office this sixth day of September Anno Domini one thousand seven hundred and Ninety three, And in the eighteenth year of the American Independence — John Gwinn Clk. Gen.l Ct. W. S. Maryland

Seal

At which day to wit the said second Tuesday of June being the tenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety four comes into the High Court of Appeals as well the said James Stewett by David M'Mechen his Attorney as the said William Molleson for the use of James Jaffray by William Cooke and Robert Smith his Attornies, And thereupon on Motion of the said William Molleson for the use of James Jaffray by his Attornies aforesaid, It is ruled by the Court here that the said James Sterrett assign the Errors in the Record of Proceedings between the said James Sterrett and the said William Molleson for the use of James Jaffray before the Court here brought for correcting those Errors in the Record and proceedings aforesaid supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court here will be entered against him in default thereof — Whereupon the said James Stewett by his Attorney aforesaid comes and prays a day of Imparlance to the Errors aforesaid for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid by him the aforesaid James Sterrett to be assigned until the second Tuesday of November next and then to assign those Errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the Record and proceedings aforesaid rendered and to him it is granted the same day is given to the said William Molleson for the use of James Jaffray also — At which said second Tuesday of November being the

eleventh day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety four, to which said day the said James Stewett had leave to Imparle and then to assign those Errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the Record and Proceedings aforesaid rendered supposed to be therein, Come again into our High Court of Appeals here as well the said James Stewett by his Attorney aforesaid as the aforesaid William Molleson for the use of James Jaffray by his Attornies and the said James Stewett by his Attorney aforesaid comes and saith that in the Record and process aforesaid, And also in the giving of Judgment aforesaid there is Manifest Error in this to wit that whereby the Record aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said William Molleson for the use of James Jaffray against the said James Stewett Therefore in that there is Manifest error and they pray that the Judgment aforesaid for that Error and others being in the Record and process aforesaid may be reversed annulled and wholly holden for naught and that the said James Stewett may be restored to all that they have lost by Occasion of the said Judgment and that the said William Molleson for the use of James Jaffray aforesaid may rejoin to the Errors aforesaid &c — And the said William Molleson for the use of James Jaffray by his Attornies aforesaid says there is no Error in the Record and proceedings aforesaid a in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the Record and proceedings aforesaid as of the Matters aforesaid by the said James Stewett above for Errors assigned and that the said Judgment may be in all things Affirmed and so forth, But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of June next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises, because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised &c — And now at this day to wit, the said second Tuesday of June being the Ninth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety five comes again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid James Stewett by his Attorney aforesaid as the said William Molleson for the use of James Jaffray by his Attornies aforesaid — Whereupon as well the Record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said James Stewett above for Errors Assigned being seen heard and fully understood by the Court and mature deliberation thereon had, Thereupon for that it appears to the Court here that there is no Error either in the Record and