aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of November next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premisses, because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised &c.— And now at this day to wit, the said second Tuesday of November being the eleventh day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety four comes again into the High Court of Appeals the aforesaid Baker Howard's Lessee by his Attornies aforesaid as the said Clement Gardiner by his Attorney aforesaid But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is further given to parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of June next to hear their — Judgment of and upon the premises, because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised &c.— And now at this day to wit the said second Tuesday of June being the Ninth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety five comes again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid Baker Howard's Lessee by his Attornies aforesaid as the said Clement Gardiner by his Attorney aforesaid, Whereupon as well the Record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Baker Howard's Lessee above for Errors assigned being seen heard and fully understood by the Court, and mature deliberation thereon had, Thereupon for that it appears to the Court here that there is no Error either in the Record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid given in form aforesaid be in all things Affirmed, And it is further considered that the said Clement Gardiner recover against the said Baker Howard's Lessee his damages Costs and charges aforesaid, so as aforesaid rendered in the aforesaid General Court as also the quantity of sixteen hundred and sixteen pounds of Tobacco adjudged to him on his absent according to the form of the Statute in such Cases made and provided for his Costs and charges which he hath sustained by Occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by means of the prosecuting the said Writ of Error by the said Baker Howard's Lessee, and that he the said Clement Gardiner have thereof his Execution &c.—

Test, Burton Whetcroft Clerk Court of Appeals—

John Manning
vs
Wm. Thomas Barnett

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit at June Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety three the Honorable the Judges of the General

Court of the Eastern Shore of the State of Maryland transmitted to the Honorable the Judges of the High Court of Appeals of said State the following Record to wit.— State of Maryland to wit, At a General Court for the Eastern Shore of the said State, begun and held at Easton in Talbot County, the second Tuesday of September, being the eleventh day of the same Month Anno Domini, One thousand seven hundred and Ninety two.—

Were Present

Samuel Chase Esquire Chief Judge
Robert Goldsborough and Jeremiah Townley Chase | Esquires Judges
Richard Johns Esquire Sheriff — James Earle Clk —

Among others were the following proceedings to wit.—

Thomas Barnett against John Manning — | Be it remembered that on the Nineteenth day of July Anno Domini, One thousand seven hundred and Ninety one, Command was given to the Sheriff of Dorchester County, that he should take John Manning late of Dorchester County in the State of Maryland Planter if he should be found in your Bailiewick, and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court, to be held at Easton in Talbot County, the second Tuesday of September next to answer unto Thomas Barnett of a plea, that he render unto him the sum of four hundred pounds Current Money of Maryland, which to him he owes and from him unjustly detains and soforth, and that thereof he the said Sheriff should not fail at his Peril, and that he should have then and there that Writ &c.—

At which said second Tuesday of September Anno Domini seventeen hundred and Ninety one being the day of the return of the aforegoing Writ came the said Thomas Barnett by Nicholas Hammond his Attorney, and the Sheriff of Dorchester County to whom the same writ was directed; likewise come and made return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed to wit— "Cepi— John Eccleston Sheriff"—

And the said John Manning being called upon appeared thereupon at the prayer of the said Thomas Barnett by his Attorney aforesaid, the aforesaid John Manning was ruled to give Special bail to the Action aforesaid, Whereupon a certain Robert Ewing of Talbot County being present in Court undertook for the said John Manning in case the said Thomas Barnett shall recover Judgment against the said John Manning in the plea aforesaid, or that he the said John Manning shall be therein legally convict that then the said John Manning shall pay the condemnation of the Court thereupon, or render his body to the custody of the Sheriff of Dorchester County in satisfaction thereof, or that he the said Robert Ewing would do the same for him, Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid by consent of the said parties, and their