upon the premises. day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Judges of our high court of Appeals here until the second Tuesday of November next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof not yet &c. At which said second Tuesday of November being the tenth day of the same Month seventeen hundred and ninety five comes again here into our high Court of Appeals the said parties Appellant & Appellee by their Attornies aforesaid and the said Appellants by their Attornies saith that in the Record and process aforesaid likewise in the rendering of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that by the record and process aforesaid it does appear that the Judgment aforesaid rendered was given for the aforesaid Charles Peaceable Lefter aforesaid against the aforesaid John Roberts & Henry Roberts whereas by the Law of the Land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said John Roberts & Henry Roberts against the said Charles Peaceable therefore in that it is manifestly erred. and the said Appellee aforesaid says that there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid. and prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matter aforesaid by the said appellant above for error assigned. day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid until the second Tuesday of June next, to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c. And now here at this day to wit. the second Tuesday of June being the fourteenth day of the same Month seventeen hundred and ninety six comes again here into our high Court of Appeals the said parties Appellants and Appellee by their Attornies aforesaid Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said John Roberts & Henry Roberts above for errors assigned being seen heard and fully understood. Thereupon it is fully considered by the Court that the Judgment aforesaid be in all things in its whole force and effect the said causes and matters above for error assigned in any wise notwithstanding and further it is considered by the Court here that the said Charles Peaceable Lefter aforesaid do recover against the said John Roberts & Henry Roberts the quantity of Ten hundred and ninety six pounds of Tobacco for his costs and Damages which he hath sustained by reason of the delay of the Execution of the Judgment aforesaid by pretence of prosecution of the State of Maryland's Writ of Error and that the said Charles Peaceable Lefter aforesaid have thereof Execution &c.—

Test. Burton Whetcroft. Clk, to the Court of Appeals.

John O Donnell against William Young

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit On the second day of April Anno Domini Seventeen hundred and ninety five the aforesaid John O Donnell sued out of the State of Maryland's high Court of Chancery the writ of the State of Maryland of Error directed to the Honorable the Judges of the General Court in the words and figures following to wit.— Maryland ss. The State of Maryland. To the Honorable the Judges of the

General Court greeting. Because in the Record and process and also in the rendering of Judgment in a certain Action of Debt brought by William Young against John O Donnell for the sum of Forty thousand two hundred and seventy six pounds thirteen shillings and four pence current money and Costs &c. Said. at a General Court held before you our said Judges at the City of Annapolis October Term last. Manifest Error as it is said has happened to the great Damage of the said John O Donnell as of his Complaint we have received, and we being willing that the Errors if any there be should be duly corrected and full and speedy Justice done to the said John O Donnell in this behalf command you, that if Judgment be thereof rendered that then the Record and process aforesaid with all things thereunto relating under your Seals distinctly and openly you send and this Writ. so that the same may be had before the Judges of our high Court of Appeals at Annapolis the second Tuesday in June next that the Record and process aforesaid being inspected into we may further do thereon for the correcting that Error which of right and according to the Laws and Customs of this State may be done. Witness the Honorable Alexander Contee Hanson Esquire Chancellor this second day of April Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety five.—

(Great Seal in Wax Appendant) Samuel Harvey Howard Reg. Cur. Can.

At which mentioned second Tuesday of June being the Ninth day of the same Month Seventeen hundred and ninety five and the day of the return of the aforegoing Writ the Honorable the Judges of the General Court to whom the said writ of Error was made and directed in pursuance thereof and according to the form & effect of the Act of Assembly in such Case made and provided make return to the Judges of our Court here of the same Writ with the following Record to wit.—

The State of Maryland, sct. At a General Court for the Western Shore of the said State begun and held at the City of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of October being the fourteenth day of the same Month Anno Domini one thousand seven hundred and ninety four. And in the Nineteenth Year of the Independence of the said State.—

Present,

The Honorable Samuel Chase Esquire Chief Judge,
and
Jeremiah Townley Chase Esquire Puisne Judge,

William Goldsmith Esquire Sheriff. John Gwinn. Clerk,

In the Record of proceedings of the same Court among others is the following to wit,—

W.C. William Young against R.S. John O Donnell

Be it remembered that on the second Tuesday of May being the thirteenth day of the same Month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety four comes here into our General Court the aforesaid William Young by William Cooke his Attorney and institutes his action against the aforesaid John O Donnell by consent in a certain plea of Debt