Annapolis May Term last, Manifest Error as it is said has happened to the great damage of the said William Holland Reynolds as of his Complaint we have received and we being willing that the Errors if any there be should be duly corrected and full and speedy Justice done to the said Reynolds in this behalf, Command you that if Judgment be thereof rendered that then the Record and process aforesaid with all things thereunto relating under your Seals distinctly and openly you send and this writ so that the same may be had before the Judges of our High Court of Appeals at Annapolis the second Tuesday in November next that the Record and process aforesaid being inspected into we may further do therein for the correcting of that Error which of right and according to the Laws and Customs of this State may be done Witness the Honorable Alexander Contee Hanson Esquire Chancellor this 17th day of June Anno Domini 1795.

Samuel Harvey Howard, Reg. Cur. Cancr.

In Pursuance whereof, and according to the form and effect of the Act of Assembly in such Cases made and provided a Transcript of the Judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered with all things thereunto relating, is hereby transmitted to the said State of Maryland's High Court of Appeals accordingly.

In Testimony, that the aforegoing Transcript is truly taken from the Record and proceedings of the General Court for the Western Shore of the State aforesaid, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Public Seal of the said General Court this Seventeenth day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety five, And in the Nineteenth year of the Independence of the said State.

John Gwinn Clerk, Genl. Court.
Western Shore, State of Maryld.

At which said second Tuesday of November being the tenth day of the same month seventeen hundred and ninety five comes into our high Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid William Holland Reynolds by Philip Barton Key his attorney as the aforesaid Joseph Wilkinson and Thomas Gray by their attorney Nicholas Brice but because the Court are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Judges of our high Court of Appeals here until the second Tuesday of June next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof not yet ver. At which said second Tuesday of June being the fourteenth day of the same Month seventeen hundred and ninety six comes again here into our high Court of Appeals the said parties Appellant & Appellees by their Attornies aforesaid and the said Appellant by his Attorney aforesaid saith that in the Record and process aforesaid likewise in the rendering of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that by the Record and process aforesaid it does appear that the Judgment aforesaid rendered was given for the aforesaid Joseph Wilkinson & Thomas Gray against the said William Holland Reynolds whereas by the Law of the Land that Judgment ought to have been given

William Holland Reynolds against the said Joseph Wilkinson & Thomas Gray therefore in that it is manifestly erred, and the said appellees aforesaid says that there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matter aforesaid by the said appellant above for error assigned. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said William Holland Reynolds above for errors assigned being seen heard and fully understood. Thereupon it is fully considered by the Court that the Judgment aforesaid be in all things in its whole force and effect the said causes and matters above for error assigned in any wise notwithstanding and further it is considered by the Court here that the said Joseph Wilkinson & Thomas Gray do recover against the said William Holland Reynolds the quantity of Eleven hundred and eighteen pounds of Tobacco for their Costs and Damages which they have sustained by reason of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by pretence of prosecution of the State of Maryland's Writ of Error and that the said Joseph Wilkinson & Thomas Gray have thereof execution &c.

Test, Burton Wheatcroft, Clk. to the Court of Appeals.

Peter Wyant
against
Richard Owings

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the second Tuesday of November being the tenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety five The Honorable the Judges of the General Court transmitted to the Honorable the Judges of the High Court of Appeals here the following Record on an appeal the Tenor whereof follows in these words to wit.

The State of Maryland, &c. At a General Court for the Western Shore of the said State begun and held at the City of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of October being the thirteenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety five, and in the Twentieth year of the Independence of the said State.

Present

The Honorable Samuel Chase Esquire Chief Judge, Robert Goldsborough & Jeremiah Townley Chase } Esquires Puisne Judges

Richard Harwood Esquire Sheriff. John Gwinn, Clerk.

In the Record of proceedings of the same Court, among others is the following, to wit.—

Mr. Richard Owings against Pltff. Peter Wyant

Be it remembered that heretofore, to wit, on the seventh day of September Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety three the Plaintiff by James Winchester his attorney sued out of our General Court the State of Maryland Writ of Capias ad respondendum directed to the Sheriff of Baltimore County in the words and figures, following, to wit,—

The State of Maryland, &c, To the Sheriff of Baltimore County, greeting, We command you that you take Peter Wyant late of Baltimore County Innkeeper if he shall be found in your Bailiwick, and him safe keep, so that you have his body before the Judges of our General Court, to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second Tuesday of October next, to answer unto Richard Owings of a plea of Trespass on the case and so forth, here of fail not