June Term 1796.

aforesaid, as the aforesaid Peter Wyant by his attorney aforesaid. And the Jurors of the Jury whereof mention is within made being called come, that is to say, Charles Manbun, Theodore Hodgkin, Robert John Smith, Matthew Ball, Stephen Jones, Henry Grist, Walter Williams, Abraham Jones, William Hamilton, George Stage, Robert Greenwell, and Michael O'Brion, who being duly elected, tried and sworn to say the truth in the premises, upon their oath do say, that the said Peter Wyant is Guilty of the premises aforesaid in such manner manner and form as the aforesaid Richard Owings within against him hath complained, and they assess the Damages of the aforesaid Richard Owings by reason thereof to the sum of Four hundred and fifty pounds Current Money.

Therefore it is considered by the Judges here that the said Richard Owings recover against the aforesaid Peter Wyant as well the sum of Four hundred and fifty pounds current money his Damages aforesaid by the Jurors aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed as also the quantity of two thousand one hundred and one pounds of Tobacco by the Court here unto the said Richard Owings on his Assent adjudged for his costs and charges by him about his Suit in this behalf laid out and expended. And the aforesaid Peter Wyant in mercy and so forth.

Memorandum, Before the Jurors aforesaid withdrew from the Bar of the Court here the aforesaid Peter Wyant by his Attorney aforesaid, filed in Court here the following Bill of Exceptions, to wit.

Richard Owings against Peter Wyant. — At the Trial of this Cause in order to support the Plaintiffs Issue and to prove that Peter Wyant was a Common Innkeeper the plaintiff offered parole Evidence to which it was objected by the Defendant that no Evidence was admissable in this Suit to prove that fact but a Copy of the Record from Baltimore County Court shewing that the said Wyant was licensed to keep Tavern, but the Court over ruled the objection and determined that Parole Evidence might be given to prove that he was an Innkeeper, to which opinion the Defendant prays leave to except and to have the Benefit of his Exception according to the Statute in that case made and provided.

Samuel Chase (Seal)
Jeremiah Townley Chase (Seal)

And thereupon the aforesaid Peter Wyant by his Attorney aforesaid prays an Appeal from the Judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered to the State of Maryland's High Court of Appeals and the same is granted unto him.

It is therefore Ordered by the said Court that the Record and proceedings aforesaid with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the said State of Maryland's High Court of Appeals, and the same are hereby transmitted to the same Court accordingly.

Test, John Gwinn Clk.

In Testimony whereof that the aforegoing is a true Copy taken from the Record and proceedings of the General Court for the Western Shore of the State aforesaid, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Public Seal of the said General Court this twenty first day of October Anno Domini seventeen hundred and ninety five; And in the Twentieth year of the Independence of the said State.

John Gwinn, Clerk, Genral Court, Western Shore, State of Maryland

June Term 1796.

At which day to wit the said second Tuesday of November being the tenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety five came into the High Court of Appeals as well the said Peter Wyant by Luther Martin his attorney as the said Richard Owings by James Winchester his attorney and thereupon on Motion of the said Appellee by his attorney aforesaid It is ruled by the Court here that the said Appellant assign the Errors in the Record of Proceedings between the said Appellant and the said Appellee before the Court here brought for correcting those Errors in the Record and proceedings aforesaid supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court here will be entered against them in default thereof. Whereupon the said Appellant by his Attorney aforesaid come and pray a day of Imparlance to the Errors aforesaid for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid by him the aforesaid Appellant to be assigned until the second Tuesday of June next and then to assign those Errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the Record and proceedings aforesaid rendered and to him it is granted the same day is given to the said Appellee also. At which said second Tuesday of June being the fourteenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety six come again into the High Court of Appeals as well the said Appellant by his attorney aforesaid as the said Appellee by his attorney aforesaid, Whereupon all and singular the premises aforesaid being seen by the Court here and more fully understood. Thereupon it is ordered by the Court that the Appeal aforesaid between the parties aforesaid be hence dismissed and it is further considered by the Court here that the said Appellee recover against the said Appellant the quantity of Ten hundred and thirty pounds of Tobacco by the Court adjudged on his Assent according to the form of the Statute in such cases made and provided for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the Execution of the aforegoing Judgment aforesaid by means of the prosecuting the said Appeal by the said Appellant and that he the said Appellee have thereof his Execution &c.

Test, Burton Wheteroft, Clerk to the Court of Appeals.