In pursuance whereof and according to the form and effect of the Act of Assembly in such cases made and provided a transcript of the Judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid renedered with all things thereunto relating is hereby transmitted to the said high Court of Appeals of the said State accordingly.

In Testimony that the aforegoing transcript is truly taken from the record and proceedings of the General Court for the Western Shore of the State aforesaid. I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Seal of the said General Court this nineteenth day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety six, And in the twenty first year of the Independence of the said State.

John Gwinn Clerk Genl Court.

West Shore State } vs. } Boyle.

At which said second Tuesday of June being the thirteenth day of the same Month Seventeen hundred and ninety seven comes into our high Court of Appeals the aforesaid Ignatius Pigman by John Mac his Attorney as the aforesaid Somerwell Duguid & Co use of Somerwell & Duguid by their Attorney Robert Smith but because the Court are not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Judges of our high Court of appeals here until the second Tuesday of November next to hear their judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof not yet &c. At which said second Tuesday of November being the fourteenth day of the same Month Seventeen hundred and ninety seven comes again here into our high Court of Appeals the said parties Appellant & appellee by their Attornies aforesaid and the said appellant by his attorney saith that in the Record and process aforesaid likewise in the rendering of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that by the record and process aforesaid it does appear that the Judgment aforesaid rendered was given for the aforesaid Appellees against the aforesaid Appellant whereas by the Law of the Land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said appellant against the said Appellees therefore in that it is manifestly erred. And the said appellees aforesaid says that there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid. or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matter aforesaid by the said Appellant above for error assigned. day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid until the second Tuesday of June next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because in the Court now here thereof as not yet &c. And now here at this day to wit the second Tuesday of June being the twelfth day of the same month Seventeen hundred and ninety eight comes again here into

our high Court of Appeals the said parties Appellant and appellees by their Attornies aforesaid Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Ignatius Pigman above for errors assigned being seen heard and fully understood. Thereupon it is fully considered by the Court that the Judgment aforesaid be in all things in its whole force and effect the said Causes and matters above for error assigned in any wise notwithstanding and further it is considered by the Court here that the said Somerwell Duguid & Co do recover against the said Ignatius Pigman the quantity of Eleven hundred and sixty eight pounds of Tobacco for their costs and Damages which they have sustained by reason of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by pretence of prosecution of the State of Maryland's Writ of Error and that the said Somerwell Duguid & Co. use of Somerwell & Duguid have thereof execution &c.

Test. Burton Whetcroft, Clerk of the Court of App.

Benjamin Norman against Samuel Chew use of Edward Hall

Be it remembered that heretofore, to wit on the nineteenth day of November Anno Domini Seventeen hundred and ninety six the aforesaid Benjamin Norman sued out of the State of Maryland's high Court of Chancery the Writ of the State of Maryland of Error directed to the Honorable the Judges of the General Court in the words and figures following, to wit.

Maryland ss. The State of Maryland to the honorable the Judges of the General Court Greeting. Because in the Record and process and also in the rendering of Judgment on a certain action brought by Samuel Chew for the use of Edward Hall against Benjamin Norman for the sum of One hundred and two pounds twelve shillings and two pence current money Debt and four hundred and five pounds of Tobacco & one shilling and ten pence halfpenny current money costs and also seven hundred and thirty eight pounds of Tobacco further costs at a General Court held before you our said Judges at the City of Annapolis at October Term last, manifest Error as it is said has happened to the great damage of the said Benjamin Norman as of his Complaint we have received, and we being willing that the Errors if any there be should be duly corrected and full and speedy Justice done to the said Benjamin Norman In this Behalf, command you that if Judgment be thereof rendered that then the Record and process aforesaid with all things thereunto relating under your Seals distinctly and openly you send and this Writ so that the same may be had before the Judges of our high Court of Appeals at Annapolis the second Tuesday in June next, that the Record and process aforesaid being inspected into we may further do therein for the correcting that Error which of right and according to the Laws and Customs of this State may be done Witness the honorable Alexander Contee Hanson Chancellor this nineteenth day of Nov