At which day to wit the said second tuesday of November being the thirteenth day of the same month in the year Seventeen hundred and ninety eight comes into the High Court of Appeals as well the said Alexander Scott by Thomas Buchanan and Philip Barton Key his Attorneys as the said Alexander Hamilton by William Cooke his attorney. And thereupon on motion of the said Appellee by his attorney aforesaid, It is ruled by the Court here that the said Appellant assign the errors in the record of proceedings between the said Appellant and the said Appellee before the Court here brought for correcting those errors in the record and proceedings aforesaid supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court here will be entered against him in default thereof: whereupon the said Appellant by his attornies aforesaid comes and prays a day of imparlance to the errors aforesaid for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid by him the aforesaid Appellant to be assigned until the second tuesday of June next and then to assign those errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the record and proceedings aforesaid rendered and to him it is granted the same day is given to the said Appellee also.

At which said second tuesday of June being the eleventh day of the same month Seventeen hundred and ninety nine to which said day the said Appellant had leave to imparle and then to assign those errors for the reversal of the Judgment in the record and proceedings aforesaid rendered supposed to be therein come again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the said Appellant by his attornies aforesaid as the aforesaid Appellee by his attorney aforesaid and the said Appellant by his Attornies aforesaid comes and says that in the record and process aforesaid and also in the rendering of Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that whereby the record aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid rendered was given for the said Appellee against the said Appellant. Therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the Judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record and process aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely for void and that the said Appellant may be restored to all that he hath lost by occasion of the Judgment aforesaid; and that the said Appellee may reply to the errors aforesaid &c. and the said Appellee by his attorney aforesaid says there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and prays likewise that the Court here may proceed to the examination as well of the record and proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Appellant above for errors assigned and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed &c. But because the Court here are not yet advised to their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second tuesday of November next to hear their Judgment of

and upon these premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised &c. And now at this day to wit the said second tuesday of November being the twelfth day of the same month Seventeen hundred and ninety nine, come again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid Appellant by his attornies aforesaid as the said Appellee by his attorney aforesaid: whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment rendered in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said Appellant above for errors assigned being seen heard and fully understood by the Court and mature deliberation thereon had: Thereupon for that it appears to the Court here that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid rendered in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed. and it is further considered by the Court here that the said Appellee recover against the said Appellant his damages costs and charges aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered in the aforesaid General Court as also the quantity of twelve hundred and six pounds of tobacco adjudged to him on his assent according to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by means of prosecuting the said Writ of error by the said Appellant: and that he the said Appellee have thereof his Execution &c.

Test Burton Whetcroft clerk Court of Appeals

A. S.
W. Cranch — Thomas Johnson
&
J. T. M. — Daniel Carroll of Duddington

Be it remembered that on the thirty first day of July Seventeen hundred and ninety eight the aforesaid Thomas Johnson by Arthur Shaff and William Cranch his Attornes sued out of the State of Maryland high Court of Chancery the Writ of the said state (of error) directed to the Honorable the Judges of the General Court in the words following to wit

Maryland sc. The State of Maryland to the honorable the Judges of the General Court greeting: because in the record and process and also in the rendering of Judgment on a certain action brought by Daniel Carroll against Thomas Johnson for the Sum of four thousand pounds current money as thereabouts for the debt and the Costs of the said Suit at a General Court held before you our said Judges at May term last manifest error as it is said has happened to the great damages of the said Thomas Johnson as of his complaint we have received and we being willing that the errors if any there be should be duly corrected and full and speedy justice done to the said Thomas Johnson in this behalf command you that if judgment be thereof rendered that then the record and process aforesaid with all things thereunto relating under your seals distinctly and openly you send and this Writ so that the same may be had before the Judges of our High Court of Appeals at