In pursuance whereof and according to the act of assembly in such cases made and provided a Transcript of the record of proceedings of the Judgment aforesaid & all things thereunto relating with the writ of error aforesaid annexed, is hereby transmitted to the said High Court of Appeals.

Test: John Irwinn Clerk

The state of Maryland Sc:

I hereby certify that the aforegoing transcript is a true copy taken from the record of proceedings of the General Court of the western shore of the said state. In Testimony whereof I do to this exemplification (the same being first duly stamped) set my hand and affixed the seal of the said General Court this the third day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and in the twenty fifth year of the Independence of the United States of America.

Seal.

John Irwinn Clerk

At which day to wit the said second tuesday of June being the tenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred comes into the High Court of Appeals as well the said Isaac Polock and Charles Wayman Spba of John Nicholson by Philip B Key their Attorney as the said George and Patrick Maguder use of John Haskins, Hare and Walter Dorsey by John Thompson Mason their Attorney and thereupon on motion of the said Appellee by his Attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said Appellant assign the errors in the record of proceedings between the said Appellant and the said Appellee before the Court here brought for correcting those errors in the record and proceedings aforesaid supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court here will be entered against him in default thereof whereupon the said appellant by his Attorney aforesaid comes and prays a day of imparlance to the errors aforesaid for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid by him the aforesaid appellant to be assigned untill the second tuesday of november next and then to assign those errors for the reversal of the Judgment aforesaid in the record and proceedings aforesaid rendered and to him it is granted the same day is given to the said Appellee also.

At which said second Tuesday of November being the eleventh day of the same month in the year last aforesaid come again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid Appellant by his Attorney aforesaid as the said Appellee by his Attorney aforesaid but because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here untill the second

tuesday of June next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c.

At which said second tuesday of June being the ninth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and one comes again into the High Court of Appeals as well the aforesaid Appellant by his Attorneys aforesaid as the said Appellee by his Attorney aforesaid but because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the court here untill the second tuesday of november next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c.

And now at this day to wit the said second tuesday of November being the tenth day of the same month in the year last aforesaid comes again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid Appellant by his Attorney aforesaid as the said Appellee by his Attorney aforesaid whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment rendered in form as the matters aforesaid by the said Appellant above for errors assigned being seen heard and fully understood by the Court here and matters deliberatein thereof had thereupon for that it appears to the Court here that there is no error either in the record & proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the Judgment aforesaid rendered in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed. And it is further considered by the Court here that the said Appellee recover against the appellant his damages costs and charges aforesaid rendered in the aforesaid General Court as also the quantity of Thirteen hundred and fifty two pounds of Tobacco adjudged to him on his assent according to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by means of prosecuting the said writ of Error by the said appellant and that he the said Appellee have thereof his execution &c.

Test. Burton Whetcroft Clerk to the Court of Appeals.

J. M. John Orne
against
J. M. G. Jeremiah Williams

Be it Remembered that heretofore to wit on the second day of August in the year of our Lord Eighteen hundred the aforesaid John Orne by his Attorney sued out of the state of Maryland's High Court of Chancery the writ of the said state of error directed to the honorable the Judges of the General Court in the words following to wit:

Maryland Sc: The state of Maryland Sc

the honorable the Judges of the General Court Greeting: Because in the record of process and also in the rendering of Judgment on a certain action brought by Jeremiah Williams against John Orne for the sum of Forty nine pounds fourteen Shillings and eight pence current money debt and one hundred pounds current money damages and costs damages to be released on payment of Interest on the debt from the twentieth day