John Scott and Wife
vs.
The Executors of Caleb Dorsey deceased Viz. William Goodwin and Mileah his Wife and Eleanor Dorsey & Edward Dorsey

In Chancery, December Term 1798

The Auditor having returned his Report with a Statement agreeably to the decision of the Chancellor on the Exceptions taken to the former Report and no Exceptions being filed against the last Account and Report — It is thereupon this fifteenth day of January seventeen hundred and Ninety nine by Alexander Contee Hanson Chancellor and by the Authority of this Court, Adjudged Ordered and Decreed that the said last Report of the Auditor be absolutely approved ratified and confirmed —

In the Chancellors decision on the Exceptions he intimated his intent of charging Interest from the time of filing the Bill and under all circumstances he conceives it proper so to do, The principal sum stated by the Auditor to be due, to the Complainants is £51..15..4 3/5 And the Interest thereon to this day from December 10th 1789 the time of filing the Bill is £28..5..2 2/5 and both together amount to the sum of £80-0..7. It is therefore further Adjudged, Ordered and Decreed, that the Defendants William Goodwin and Mileah his Wife and Eleanor Dorsey as Executors of Caleb Dorsey deceased do pay unto the Complainants John Scott and Elizabeth his Wife the said sum of eighty pounds and seven pence Current Money, And for as much as it does not appear that the said Complainants could have obtained the sum due to them without the Aid of this Court, It is further — Adjudged, Ordered and Decreed that the said Defendants do pay unto the said Complainant the Cost by him expended in the prosecution of his Suit amounting as taxed by the Register of this Court to the quantity of four thousand five hundred and fifty two pounds of Tobacco —

A. C. Hanson Chan

Whereupon the Complainant on the fifth day of September seventeen hundred and ninety nine, prayed an Appeal from the Decree aforesaid to the High Court of Appeals which Appeal was accordingly granted and the proceedings Ordered to be transmitted to the High Court of Appeals —

In Testimony that the within and foregoing is a true Copy from the Record of proceedings of the State of Marylands High Court of Chancery, I have to this Exemplification (the same being first duly Stamped) affixed the Great Seal of the said State this first day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and Ninety nine

Great Seal in Wax Affixed

Test. Samuel Harvey Howard Reg. Cur. Can

Sca[illegible] that the Record and Proceedings in the Case of Deborah [illegible] Bo[illegible] Non of C. Dorsey and H. M. Dorsey against [illegible]eys Exec[illegible] received as part of the proceedings in this [illegible] as if O[illegible] set up in the Record —

[illegible] Ridgely —

[illegible] said se[illegible] Tuesday of November being the twelfth day [illegible] of our Lo[illegible] thousand seven hundred and Ninety nine

come into the High Court of Appeals as well the said Appellants by Philip B. Key, Luther Martin & Arthur Shaaff their Attornies as the said Appellees by Richard Ridgely and Samuel Johnston their Attornies, And thereupon on Motion of the said Appellees by their Attornies aforesaid, It is ruled by the Court here that the said Appellants assign the Errors in the Record of Proceedings between the said Appellants and the said Appellees before the Court here brought for correcting those Errors in the Record and proceedings aforesaid supposed to be therein or Judgment by the Court here will be entered against them in default thereof, Whereupon the said Appellants by their Attornies aforesaid come and pray a day of Imparlance to the Errors aforesaid for the Reversal of the Decree aforesaid by them the aforesaid Appellants to be assigned until the second Tuesday of June next and then to assign those Errors for the Reversal of the Decree aforesaid in the Record and proceedings aforesaid rendered and to them it is granted the same day is given to the said Appellees also At which said second Tuesday of June being the tenth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred to which said day the said Appellants had leave to Imparle and then to assign those Errors for the Reversal of the Decree in the Record and proceedings aforesaid rendered supposed to be therein comes again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the said Appellants by their Attornies aforesaid as the said Appellees by their Attornies aforesaid, and the said Appellants by their Attornies aforesaid come and say that in the Record and proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving the Decree aforesaid, there is Manifest Error and filed his Errors to be assigned Generally to the Record and Decree aforesaid but the Court are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises aforesaid day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid until the second Tuesday of November next to hear their Judgment of and upon those premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet advised &c. At which said second Tuesday of November being the eleventh day of the same Month in the year last aforesaid come again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid Appellants by their Attornies aforesaid as the said Appellees by their Attornies aforesaid, But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of June next to hear their Judgment of and upon those premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c — At which said second Tuesday of June being the Ninth day of the same Month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and one come again into the High Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid Appellants by their Attornies aforesaid as the said Appellees by their Attornies aforesaid, But because the Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second Tuesday of November next to hear their Judgment of and upon [illegible] premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c — And no[illegible] to th[illegible] said second Tuesday of November being the tenth day of the same [illegible] year [illegible] said come again into the High Court of Appeals [illegible] well [illegible] Appell[illegible] Attornies aforesaid, as the said Appellees [illegible] Attor[illegible] Honorable the Judges of our High Court o[illegible] Appeals [illegible] and figures following to wit — [illegible]

John Scott & Wife — vs. William Goodwin & others } Court of A[illegible]