Court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and the premises day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the court here until the second monday of June next to hear their Judgment of and upon those those premises because the court now here thereof are not yet &c.

At which said second Monday of June being the twenty first day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and two comes again into the High court of appeals here as well the aforesaid appellants by their attornies aforesaid as the said appellee by his attornies aforesaid, but because the court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second monday of november next to hear their Judgment of and upon those premises because the Court now here thereof are not yet &c. And now at this day to wit the said second monday of november being the eighth day of the same month in the year last aforesaid comes again into the High court of appeals here as well the aforesaid appellants by their Attornies aforesaid as the said appellee by his attornies aforesaid whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the Judgment rendered in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said appellants above for errors assigned being seen heard and fully understood by the court and mature deliberation thereon had. Whereupon for that it appears to the court here there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in giving the Judgment aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the court here that the Judgment aforesaid rendered in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed. and it is further considered by the court here that the said appellee recover against the said appellants his damages cost and charges aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered in the aforesaid General court as also the quantity five thousand six hundred and fifty pounds of Tobacco adjudged to him on his assent according to the form of the statute in such cases made and provided for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Judgment aforesaid by means of prosecuting the said appeal by the said appellants. and that he the said appellee have thereof his execution &c.

Test Burton Whetcroft clerk court of appeals.

A.H. 3d. I.T.M. David Wests Lessee
vs
Key. B. L.M. { Benas Hughes and Isaac Hughes

Mutatus Mutandus

For the Proceedings refer to page 673 &c. &c.

Key. I.S. A.H. Aquila Hall
vs
L.M. I.T.M. James Gittings Lessee

Be it remembered that now here on the second tuesday of June being the tenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred, The Judges of the General court in pursuance of the act of assembly in such case made and provided transmit to the High court of appeals here the record and proceedings of a plea of Trespass and Ejectment which was lately depending before them in the said General court held for the western shore between James Gittings Lessee plaintiff and Aquila Hall defendant, and wherein the said General court gave Judgment for the said James Gittings Lessee against the said Aquila Hall, and from which said Judgment the said Aquila Hall prayed an appeal unto the High court of appeals here, the tenor of which said record and proceedings is in form following to wit.

(Clamp) The State of Maryland Sc. At a General court of the western shore of the said state, one of the United states of America begun and held at the city of Annapolis on the second tuesday of May being the thirteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred. (and) in the twenty fourth year of the Independence of the said United states of America.

Present

The Honorable Jeremiah Townley Chase esquire chief Judge
Gabriel Duvall &
John Done
Esquires Judges.

John Welch esquire Sheriff. John Irwin Clerk

In the record of proceedings of the same court, among others is the following to wit

James Gittings Lessee
against
Aquila Hall

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit. on the twenty second day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and ninety seven, Henry Goodsight Lessee of James Gittings by Samuel Johnson his Attorney filed in the General court here his declaration against Timothy Troublesome in a plea of trespass and ejectment with a notice thereto annexed, a copy whereof was made and sent to the Sheriff of Baltimore county in form following to wit. — State of Maryland Baltimore County, to wit. Timothy Troublesome late of Baltimore county aforesaid yeoman was attached to answer unto Henry Goodsight in a plea why with force and arms &c. he entered into fifty acres of arable