Edward Norwood
vs.
Samuel Norwood

In Chancery December 20. 1799. —

The auditor attended in the Auditors office to receive further testimony in the cause agreeably to notice given the Complainant and Defendant being present. —

William Hammond of Anne Arundel county of the age of 54 years being produced sworn and examined by the Auditor on the behalf of the defendant deposeth and saith. —

First question. Have you ever seen the paper marked A now shewn you, answers yes. — 2 Question. Have you ever examined the said paper answers that he has examined the said paper. —

3d. Question with whom did you make the examination. — answers with Zachariah Maccubbin. — 4th. At whose instance did you make the said examination answers. at Samuel Norwoods. — 5th. Question was Mr. Edward Norwood present when you made the said examination. — answers that he was not. — 6th. Question. did you find any of the Items and which that were heretofore admitted by Mr. Edward Norwood. — Answers that he thinks the Items in the said account were all admitted by Mr. Edward Norwood except those marked with a cross and some others as stated in an affidavit on the said exhibit A and that the affidavit contains a true state of the matter. —

Zachariah Maccubbin of Baltimore county aged 52 years being produced sworn and examined by the Auditor on behalf of the defendant deposeth and saith. — First Question Have you ever seen the paper now shewn you marked A. — answers yes. —

2d. Question. Have you ever examined the said Exhibit A. — Answers that he did examine the said exhibit. —

3d. Question with whom did you make the said examination. — answers with Mr. William Hammond. — 4th. Question at whose instance did you make the said examination. — Answers at Mr. Samuel Norwoods. — 5th. Question was Mr. Edward Norwood present when you made the said examination. — Answers no. — 6th. Question Did you find any of the said Items and which of the said Items were heretofore admitted by Mr. Edward Norwood except those excepted in the affidavit on the said exhibit. further answers that the said account was copied from the account which had been before stated by them from the books and papers produced by Mr. Edward Norwood but does not remember that any question was

asked said Edward if the said account was true. — 7th question To Mr. William Hammond. after the Gentlemen had stated the aforesaid account did Mr. Edward Norwood admit the credits in the said account to be just. — Answers that he understood that the Mr. Edward Norwood acquiesced in the said credits on the said original account viz. that he made no objection to the said credits that the said settlement or statement was made in the presence of Edward Norwood and at his house. — 8th. Question. how long did Mr. Samuel Norwood live with Mr. Edward Norwood after the year 1785. — answers that he thinks Mr. Samuel Norwood left the house of Edward sometime in the year 1785. —

7th Question to Zachariah Maccubbin. What was the services of Mr Samuel Norwood worth p. annum as manager to the estate of the Messrs. Norwoods and how long did he have the sole management thereof. — Answers that he thinks the services of Samuel Norwood was worth fifty pounds p annum and that he remembers the said Samuel lived and had the management from April 1773 and continued there and had the sole management of the said farm until the division of the land took place. — 9th Question to Mr. William Hammond from what time and how long had Mr. Samuel Norwood the management of the plantation which belonged to the Messrs. Norwoods. — Answers that Mr. Samuel Norwood lived with Mr. Edward Norwood from January 1772 until the division of the land took place and thinks he had the sole management of the said plane while his brother Edward was absent that Edward Norwood returned home in 1774 or 1775. — —

Elam Bailey of Baltimore county aged 68 years or thereabouts being produced sworn & examined by the Auditor on behalf of the defendant and saith. —

Question. Have you seen the paper marked B now shewn you, — answers that he has. — 2d. Question do you know to whom the bar Iron mentioned in the said account was delivered. — answers that he does not know to whom the said bar Iron was delivered, but that the said Iron was charged on the books of Dorsys forge to Edward Norwood when delivered that the said Iron was delivered at Elk ridge Landing does not know to what purpose the said Iron was applied. that the said Iron was paid for by work in hands for cutting wood for the use of the forge he supposes that the said hands were the joint property of Edward & Samuel Norwood. — The Auditor adjourned until to morrow morning at 9 O'clock by consent. —

Robt. Denny auditor B. Chancery

Auditors Office Annapolis Saturday December 21. 1799. —

The Auditor attended agreeably to adjournment for the purpose