Norwood was present at times and made objections to several charges which were overruled but does not remember that he was present at the time of making of the said charge of 60£. 1.. 2d 4 that he this deponent thinks on the charges and the accounts being brought forward by Mr. Edward Norwood got into a violent passion and left the room at different times during the examination and settlement aforesaid. — This deponent states further that the admissions of the Items by Edward Norwood as stated in his this deponents depositions of yesterday on exhibit A were made during the period of said settlement and not since. this deponent also answers that exhibit x No. 4 was a paper made out by the referees at the time of settlement of said account, and the whole of the charges as stated on said paper except the two last is in the hand writing of Col. Edward Gaither as this deponent believes and that the two last sums and the addition is in the hand writing of him this deponent. — 1st. Question by Complainant were there vouchers to the Items to the credit of Samuel Norwood in the account A. —

Answers that he does not recollect whether he saw the vouchers for the particular Items, but thinks that many of the charges were agreed on between the parties themselves for the particular Items from which the said credits were made except the charge of £537.. 10.. for one half of the buildings. —

1st. Question by defendant. do you recollect if any vouchers were produced in support of the charge of 206.. 11.. 11 of which one half is charged to Samuel Norwood Viz. 103.. 5.. 11½ — answers that he does not recollect that there were any but he supposes there were or the charge would not have been made. — 2 Question by defendant do you recollect if any vouchers were produce in support of the charge of 103.. 12.. 8 one half of which is charged to Samuel Norwood. — answer as above. —

Zachariah Maccubbin being again produced before the auditor and having been duly sworn in the cause deposeth and saith on the behalf of the Compt.t

To the first Interrogatory answers that he does not recollect any particular Item in the said account which were either admitted by Samuel Norwood or objected to by him, but that he expressed displeasure at their proceedings and some times withdrew and some times returned and seemed to acquiesce but on what particular charge he objected he does not recollect. —

The 2d. answers that the referees entered on the business with a view

of settling a complicated account between the Complainant and Defendant and to the best of his recollection the said account was stated from the books and papers produced by Edward Norwood or such as he supposed to be his. that he thinks there might have been some papers produced by Samuel Norwood the defendant. — The 3d. waved. — To the 4th. answers that he knows of no agreement between the Complainant and defendant for the defendants overlooking and managing the farm, but he recollects two overseers being employed at different times on the said plantation one by the name of Stinchcombe and the other Wilderman but does not recollect the particular time either of the said Overseers were employed there or how long either of them staid but Wilderman was there between the years 1780 and 1783. — To the 5th. answers that Edward Norwood in the year 1773 lived at Stephen Weeks store and continued there to the end of the year 1774 or early in the year 1775 that in 1776 he had a commission in the flying Camp and when his time there expired he took a commission in the regular service or in the course of the ensuing year and he this deponent does not recollect what time the said Edward Norwood left the regular service, that the said Edward Norwood was at home at times and away at times that he thinks he went to the west Indies in the year 1781 or the year 1782 does not recollect when he returned but he thinks the said Edward Norwood lived chiefly at home after his return from the West Indies. — The 6th. and 7th. Interrogatories waved. —

To the 8th. answers that he has no recollection at this time of any voucher to any particular Item before the referees at the time of settlement but that the books of Edward Norwood and a number of other papers were produced before them. —

Question to Mr. William Hammond by the Complainant by whom was the brick work done on the plantation & plaistering the house. — Answers that he has understood that the said brick work & plaistering was done by a man by the name of George Patterson a convict who he has understood from the general report of the neighbourhood that he belonged to Mr. Edward Norwood. —

Question to Capt. Samuel Godman by Complainant. — By whom did you receive the Items on exhibit B under the date of September 11. 1775 and of the 21st. of July 1776. —

Answers that he received the whole from Edward Norwood. — Question do you know George Patterson & who did he belong to. — answers that he knew said Patterson and has understood that he belonged to Edward Norwood but does not know from whom he received the information it might be from Edward Norwood but it was the