At which day to wit, the said third monday in June being the twenty first day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and two, comes into the Court of Appeals as well the said William Todd and Rachel his wife by Philip Barton Key and John Johnson their attornies, as the said Henry Pratt by Luther Martin his Attorney; And thereupon on motion of the said Henry Pratt by his Attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the court here that the said William Todd and Rachel his wife assign the errors in the record of proceedings between the said William Todd and Rachel his wife and the said Henry Pratt before the court here brought for correcting those errors in the record and proceedings aforesaid supposed to be therein or Judgment by the court here will be entered against them in default thereof, whereupon the said William Todd and Rachel his wife by their Attornies aforesaid come and pray a day of Imparlance to the errors aforesaid for the reversal of the Decree aforesaid by them the aforesaid William Todd and Rachel his wife to be assigned until the second monday of november next and then to assign those errors for the reversal of the Decree aforesaid in the record and proceedings aforesaid rendered and to them it is granted the same day is given to the said Henry Pratt also. — At which said second monday of november being the eighth day of the same month in the year last aforesaid, to which said day the said William Todd and Rachel his wife have leave to imparle and then to assign these errors for the reversal of the Decree in the record and proceedings aforesaid rendered supposed to be therein come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said William Todd and Rachel his wife by their Attornies aforesaid as the aforesaid Henry Pratt by his Attorney aforesaid and the said William Todd and Rachel his wife by their Attornies aforesaid come and saith, that in the record and process aforesaid as also in rendering the Decree aforesaid it is manifestly erred in this, that is to say, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Decree aforesaid in form aforesaid given was for the said Henry Pratt against them the said William Todd and Rachel his wife, when by the law of the land and in equity and good conscience, that Decree ought to have been for them the said William Todd and Rachel his wife against the said Henry Pratt therefore in this it is manifestly erred, and they the said William Todd and Rachel his wife pray that the Decree aforesaid for this and other errors in the record and process aforesaid being, may be revoked, annulled and held for naught, and that they the said William Todd and Rachel his wife to all which they by occasion of the Decree aforesaid hath lost may be restored &c.

and the said Henry Pratt by his attorney aforesaid saith that neither in the record and process aforesaid, nor in rendering the decree aforesaid it is in any wise erred, and therefore prays that the said Decree may in all things be affirmed. — But because the court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the court here until the second tuesday of June next to hear their Judgment of and upon these premises because the court now here thereof are not yet advised &c. at which said second tuesday of June being the fourteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and three, comes again into the Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid William Todd and Rachel his wife by their Attorney aforesaid, as the said Henry Pratt by his Attorney aforesaid, —

But because the court here are not yet advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid before the Court here until the second tuesday of november next to hear their Judgment of and upon these premises because the court now here thereof are not yet &c. — And now at this day to wit, the said second tuesday of november being the fourteenth day of the same month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and three, comes again into the Court of Appeals here as well the aforesaid William Todd and Rachel his wife by their attorney aforesaid, as the said Henry Pratt by his attorney aforesaid, — whereupon as well the record and whole proceedings of the Chancery court and the Decree thereupon given, as the causes and matters aforesaid by the said William Todd and Rachel his wife above for errors assigned, being seen, and by the Court here diligently examined into and fully understood and mature deliberation being thereupon had, for that it appears to the court here that the Decree aforesaid so as aforesaid given and rendered in the Chancery court is according to Equity and good conscience, and that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving and rendering the decree aforesaid. — Therefore it is considered by the court here, that the Decree aforesaid, given and rendered in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes and matters for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding. — And it is further considered by the court here that the said Henry Pratt recover against the said William Todd and Rachel his wife the quantity of Eight thousand three hundred and seventy pounds of tobacco adjudgment to the Henry Pratt by the court here for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the decree aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said William Todd and Rachel his wife, and upon the premises aforesaid prosecuted. — Jeff Burton Whitcroft Clerk