With Edward Norwood — Cr.

1800					
april	12	By expences of the ferry for one year Viz.			
		provisions 350 lbs beef a pak 50/. . . 8 " 15 — "			
		15 bushels coin 5/. . . 3 " 15 — "			
		one half is Edward Norwoods —	6	5	"
		By balance	498	4	2 3/10
			504	9	2 3/10
1801					
april	12	By expences of the ferrymans provisions as above	6	5	"
		By balance	498	4	2 3/10
			504	9	2 3/10
Decr.	12	By expences of the ferrymans provisions 8 months	4	3	4
		By balance	361	8	1 3/4
			365	11	5 3/4
		By Balance due Edward Norwood —	142	11	5

Whereupon the Chancellor passed the following order, towit.

In Chancery april 14: 1802. —

Ordered that the auditors report this day filed, in the case of Norwood against Norwood shall be ratified and confirmed unless cause to the contrary be shewn on or before the 12th day of may next; provided a copy of this order be served on the defendant Samuel Norwood or his Sollicitor before the 25th instant. —

A. C. Hanson Chan.

service admitted 14th april 1802. —

Jn. Johnson.

and on the 12th day of may 1802. the defendant filed in court his exceptions in the words following, towit: —

Samuel Norwood vs Edward Norwood } In chancery. —

Exceptions to the auditors report.

1st If the object of the order passed by the chancellor of the 25th march last was that evidence should be taken of the expences of the ferry, then the report is excepted against, because due time was not allowed to obtain that evidence; it is true the auditor on saturday gave the Sollicitor notice that on the tuesday following he should proceed to re audit the accounts and to receive new evidence, it is also true that the auditor at the request of the Sollicitor postponed the audit until the saturday ensuing the said tuesday, but on the thursday or friday preceding said saturday just

on receiving information that the witnesses could not be obtained on that day he refused further delay therefore no benefit could be obtained of the order. — 2d because a sufficient deduction for the expences even on the evidence in the cause has not been allowed. — 3d because there is no report of the auditor made in the cause to which the order of the 14th april could apply. —

Jn. Johnson. 12th may 1802

Whereupon the chancellor made the following note Viz.

Mr. Johnson is requested to fix on some day before the end of this month when the chancellor may hear the exceptions argued: If Mr. Johnson on being served with this during the present week shall not name the day the chancellor will at any time after the 25th instant proceed to a decision. —

A. C. Hanson Chan. may 13 1802.

Whereupon the parties by their counsel entered into the following agreement towit

28th of may instant agreed on to argue the exceptions.

J. Johnson
R. Ridgely

and on the said twenty eighth day of may 1802 the Complainant by his Sollicitor filed the following submission towit. —

Norwood vs Norwood } In Chancery

This day being appointed for the chancellor to decide on the defendants exceptions to the auditors report, the same on the part of the Complainant is submitted to the chancellor without argument. —

28th may 1802. R. Ridgely

Whereupon the chancellor passed the following order, towit.

Edward Norwood ag. Samuel Norwood } In Chancery. June 2d 1802.

The chancellor having considered the defendants exceptions, the same are overruled and it is adjudged and ordered that the auditors report be confirmed and ratified; Let the counsel prepare a decree for the payment of the sum stated to be due. —

A. C. Hanson Chan.

Edward Norwood vs Samuel Norwood } In Chancery. February term 1802

The auditor of this court having corrected the account heretofore returned agreeably to the directions of the chancellor, the said defendant thereto filed exceptions and the same being submitted to the chancellor were by him read and considered. —

It is thereupon this second day of June eighteen hundred and two by Alexander Contee Hanson chancellor